GAHC010243342026

2026:GAU-AS:16684

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3518/2026

ABDUL AHAD LASKAR
S/O MOJID ALI LASKAR, R/O VILL PUB SAMARALI, PO CHOWDHURY BAZAR, PS MURAJHAR, PIN 782439, DIST HOJAI, ASSAM

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : TAWHID LASKAR, MS. S NISHA SULTANA,MR. D K AGARWALA,ASHRAFUL BORBHUYAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 12.11.2026

Heard Mr. D. K. Agarwala, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. B. Sarma, learned Additional Public appearing for the State of Assam.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of bail to the accused applicant namely **Abdul Ahad Laskar** in

connection with Murajhar Police Station Case No. 188/2026 registered under Sections 3(5)/326(g)/329(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. An FIR was lodged on 26.09.2026 by one, Rajib Uddin Laskar before the Officer-In-Charge of Murajhar Police Station alleging *inter alia* that the accused applicant along with others had set on fire the house of the informant on 24.09.2026 at about 3:30 a.m.

4. On receipt of the FIR, the police registered the Murajhar Police Station Case No. 188/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. Mr. D. K. Agarwala, the learned counsel appearing for the accused/applicant submits that in fact an FIR was lodged by the wife of the accused applicant on 21.09.2026 before the Murajhar Police Station Police Station alleging that while she was sleeping, the accused persons tried to outrage the modesty of the informant. It is submitted that one of the accused persons is a relative of the informant of the FIR dated 26.09.2026. He submits that the FIR is nothing but a counter FIR filed by the informant in the instant case and since the accused/applicant has already spent 40 days behind bars, the accused/applicant should be granted bail at this stage.

6. The Case Diary in the instant case has been received and the same is perused.

7. Mr. B. Sarma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State of Assam, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail stating that only to occupy the land of the informant, the accused applicant and others had set on fire the house of the informant. He submits that there are sufficient materials against the accused applicant in the instant case.

8. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and has gone through the materials available in the case dairy.

9. Having found *prima facie* sufficient incriminating materials against the accused/applicant, this Court is not inclined to grant relief to the accused/applicant at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

10. In terms of the above, the instant bail application is dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant