GAHC010242702026

2026:GAU-AS:16072

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

**Case No. : AB/2773/2026**

YAKUB UDDIN SHEIKH
SON OF LATE MESSER UDDIN SHEIKH, AGED ABOUT39 YEARS, RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO.938, GAURIPUR WARD NO. 13, .ROAD, P.O. P.S. GAURIPUR, DISTRICTDHUBRI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS.
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM.

2:THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
RAJASTHAN.

3:THE STATION HOUSE OFFICERCUM-INVESTIGATING OFFICER

JYOTI NAGAR POLICE STATION
JAIPUR(SOUTH)
RAJASTHAN

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. SURAJIT DAS, MD A RAHMAN,MR. RITUPAM MALI

**Advocate for the Respondent** : PP, ASSAM,

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS**

**ORDER**

**Date : 03-11-2026**

Heard Surajit Das, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. M. P. Goswami, learned Additional PP for the State.

2. The petitioner, namely, Yakub Uddin Sheikh, is seeking transit anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in connection with Jyoti Nagar PS Case No.232/2026 registered under Sections 318(4)/319(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000. The said Police Station is located in Jaipur South in the State of Rajasthan.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not have contents of the FIR in connection with which the aforesaid PS case has been registered, but his apprehension is based on a notice dated 08.10.2026 received from the IO of the said Police Station in Rajasthan, summoning him to appear for examination in connection with the aforementioned case.

4. I have perused the said notice, being Annexure-1.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he has a limited prayer of being given transit anticipatory bail to enable him to move the Jurisdictional Court in Rajasthan.

6. Also heard Mr. M. P. Goswami, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, who submits that necessary orders can be passed in accordance with law.

7. In keeping with the principles laid down in the case of *Priya Indoria Vs. State of Karnataka & Ors.* reported *in 2023 INSC 1008*, this petition is disposed of with the direction that for a period of 15 days with effect from today i.e. 03.11.2026, in the event of arrest in connection with this case, he shall be released on bail on furnishing a bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) only with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority.

8. During this period, the petitioner may move the Jurisdictional Court in Rajasthan for the remedies provided under law.

9. The anticipatory bail petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**