GAHC010241762026

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

**Case No. : Bail Appln./3526/2026**

SAFIQUL ISLAM
SON OF MONTAZ ALI, A RESIDENT OF VILLAGE SIALMARI, NEPALIGAON, DALGAON GRANT, DIST. DARRANG, ASSAM PIN 784116

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. A S TAPADER, MR M AHMED,MR. D H MOZUMDER

**Advocate for the Respondent** : PP, ASSAM,

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN**

**ORDER**

**Date : 17.11.2026**

Heard Mr. AS Tapader, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. SH Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Rowta PS Case No. 68/2026 registered under Section 112(2)/318(4)/317(2)/61(2)/121(1) of BNS, 2023. The FIR in connection with

which the petitioner was arrested on 29.09.2026 was lodged by the police personnel stating inter alia that on an information that cyber fraud activities were taking place at a particular area, the informant along with the other staff laid a ambush and during the same, the petitioner was found allegedly withdrawing suspected cyber fraud money from an account maintained with the Bank of Maharashtra through an atm. It was also stated that petitioner was about to hand over the said cash to other persons who had come in vehicle and who fled away on seeing the police personnel.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been inside the jail for the last 50 days and that his further custodial interrogation is not required. Further he submits that the police had arrested him on being suspected of his involvement in cyber fraud activities.

4. On the other hand, Ms. SH Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on perusal of the Case Diary submits that witnesses have stated that the petitioner had number of accounts in different bank and he operates this account in connection with the cyber fraud activities. She also submits that the investigation is on with regard to the source of transactions and the money that are being deposited in his account.

5. Upon considerations of the submissions made by the learned Counsels for the parties, more so in view of the fact that the petitioner was found to have allegedly withdrawn suspected cyber fraud money from the account maintained by him as well as due to the fact that the petitioner has been inside the jail for quite some time, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the petitioner on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the CJM, Udalguri under the following conditions:

i) the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer as and when called for.

ii) the petitioner will not hamper, tamper with the evidence, neither influence the witnesses connected with the case.

iii) the petitioner will not leave the place of jurisdiction without the permission of the concerned Court.

6. Petition is disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**