GAHC010241172026

2026:GAU-AS:16071

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3502/2026

MAINUL HOQUE
S/O- KINU ALI R/O-VILL-RAJAPUKHURI BAGICHA P.S- DHULA DIST-DARRANG, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR M AHMED, MS. J CHOUDARY,N. CHOUDHURY,A. K. KANU,MR A. ALI

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 03.11.2026

Heard Mr. M. Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application has been filed under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraskha Sanhita, 2023, whereby the accused petitioner

namely, **Mainul Hoque,** has prayed for bail in connection with Dhula P.S. Case No. 119/2026 u/s 61(2)/316/(2)/(5)/318(4)/336(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. The petitioner was arrested 14.09.2026.

4. The allegations in a nutshell are about organized cyber fraud network where in substantial amounts of money were fraudulently targeted through mule accounts created by misusing customer details and multiple UPI enabled accounts by CSP -cum- Point of Sale agents. The present petitioner is stated to be working in the CSP of one Ikramul Hoque who is a co-accused.

5. The learned counsel has pointed out that apart from custody since 14.09.2026, he has also been examined thoroughly in police remand for two days. It is submitted that while the investigation can progress, the bail prayer of the petitioner may be considered.

6. The case diary is received.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that as per the forwarding report and also the materials in the case diary indicates that multiple ATM cards, SIM cards were recovered from the CSP in which the petitioner is working. The materials gathered by investigation clearly indicate misuse of customer details and documents to create mule accounts for committing the cyber fraud activities. It is also pointed out that the investigation has found the transactions through various applications such as Big Winner, Big Pay and Big Exchange etc. and through new accounts. The IO has also submitted a bail objection contending that the grant of bail at this stage may compromise the integrity of the investigation.

8. After perusing the relevant materials, I find force in the prosecution

contention. Hence the bail petition stands **rejected** at this stage.

9. Send back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant