GAHC010240632026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3468/2026

FERDUS ZINNAH ALIAS FERDOUS ZINNAH
SON OF MAHAMMAD ALI, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE TENGAGURI, POLICE-STATION LAHARIGHAT, POST-OFFICE TENGAGURI, DISTRICT-MORIGAON, ASSAM. PIN 782127.

2: MUSTAK ALI
SON OF AKKASH ALI
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE BALIDUNGA
POLICE-STATION BHURAGAON
POST-OFFICE BHURAGAON
DISTRICT- MORIGAON
ASSAM. PIN 782121.

3: SAFIQUL ISLAM
SON OF ABDUL MUTALIB
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE BOHOLAMUKH
POLICE-STATION MOIRABARI
POST-OFFICE LOCHANABORI
DISTRICT- MORIGAON
ASSAM. PIN 78212

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY LEARNED PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M DUTTA, D KHERKATARY,MR K M BORA

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 04.11.2026

Heard Mr. M. Dutta, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application has been filed under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraskha Sanhita, 2023, whereby the accused petitioners namely, **(i) Ferdus Zinnah @ Ferdous Zinnah (ii) Mustak Ali (iii) Safiqul Islam,** have prayed for bail in connection with Laharighat P.S. Case No. 155/2026 u/s 61(2)/125/196/132/221/223/287/324/326(b)/326(f) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 3/4 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984.

3. The petitioners were arrested on 18.09.2026.

4. The case arose out of an FIR dated 17/9/2026 lodged by SI Kalpajit Chetia of Laharighat police station. The gist of the allegations are that the named FIR persons and others assembled in an unlawful manner and tried to cause fire in the area and they also constituted an unlawful assembly and raised slogans against Government and used abusive languages against some high dignitaries. It is alleged that some of the protesters were also carrying inflammable substances.

5. I have perused the grounds of arrest annexed in the petition.

6. The case diary has called for is available.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the grant of bail at this stage.

8. I have also perused the bail objection. Seen the progress of investigation.

9. I find that there is reasonable progress of the investigation. Keeping in mind the facts and circumstances and also the progress of investigation, I am of the view that bail can be considered at this stage.

10. Accordingly, it is directed that, the accused-petitioners, named above, shall be released on bail in connection with Laharighat P.S. Case No. 155/2026 u/s 61(2)/ 125/196/132/221/ 223/287/324/326(b)/326(f) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 3/4 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.20,000/- each** with one suitable surety each of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Morigaon.

11. The direction for bail is further subject to the following conditions:

(a) That the petitioners shall co-operate with the remaining investigation;

(b) That the petitioners shall not hamper or tamper with evidence; and

(c) That the petitioners shall not commit any illegal activities or shall not commit any activity violating peace.

12. Violation or breach of any condition(s) shall entail cancellation of bail.

13. The bail application stands allowed and disposed of.

14. Send back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant