GAHC010237952026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6055/2026

BILAL UDDIN
S/O RAHUL AMIN VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR, DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO, ASSAM, PIN -782401.

2: MD. HAZARAT ALI
S/O KHAJAL UDDIN VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN -782401.

3: SAIDUL ISLAM
S/O AFJAL ALI
VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

4: MOFIZ UDDIN
S/O AFAJ UDDIN VILLAGE - NO 1 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

5: MOYNAL HAQUE
S/O INNAS ALI VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

6: RAJAB ALI
S/O TANU MIYA VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

7: RANJU ALI
S/O TANU MIYA VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

8: FARUK AHMED
S/O MAHMUD ALI
VILLAGE - NO. 1 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICTKAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

9: JAHAR ALI
S/O MAJEDAR BEGUM
VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI. PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

10: JALAL UDDIN
S/O RUHU AMIN
VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN 782401

11: ABU TALAB
S/O SULTAN ALI
VILLAGE - NO 2 KOCHUTALI PATHAR DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM
PIN- 78240

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, REVENUE DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT, JANATA BHAWAN (ASSAM SECRETARIAT COMPLEX), DISPUR, GUWAHATI -781006.

2:DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
KAMRUP METRO
ASSAM

3:CIRCLE OFFICER
SONAPUR REVENUE CIRCLE DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO

4:COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
GUWAHATI POLICE COMMISSIONERATE DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSAM

5:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
SONAPUR POLICE STATION DISTRICT - KAMRUP METRO ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A R BHUYAN, MR. A SARIF,MR N Z CHOUDHURY,MS. S LASKAR,M YASMIN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC. REVENUE

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

11.11.2026

Mr. A.R Bhuyan, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that there are certain errors which are crept in at the time of filing which came to be noticed subsequently. Therefore, he seeks liberty to withdraw the writ petition and will the same again.

Prayer is not objected to by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed on withdrawal with liberty.

Mr. R Borpujari, learned counsel appears for the respondent No. 1 and Mr. M. Das, learned counsel appears for the State.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant