GAHC010237932026

2026:GAU-AS:16031

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3441/2026

MAHENDRA GOGOI
S/O SRI DHANIRAM GOGOI, R/O NO. 2 GANAK DALALI, P.S. BIHPURIA,
DIST. LAKHIMPUR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. D GOGOI, MR. U S BORA

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 02.11.2026

Heard Mr. U.S. Bora, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B. Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application has been filed under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraskha Sanhita, 2023, whereby the accused petitioner

namely, **Mahendra Gogoi,** has prayed for bail in connection with Rangapara P.S. Case No. 88/2026 u/s 305 of BNS, 2023.

3. The petitioner was arrested 07.10.2026.

4. The allegation was lodged on behalf of Dabur Company about one vehicle entering their plant premises having three unknown persons with their faces covered with mask/cloth. That, these persons loaded the finished goods into the vehicle and took away the finished goods without any authorization. It is alleged that the security guard was involved in the incident.

5. The petitioner was arrested during the investigation. He was the security supervisor of the plant.

6. As per the forwarding report, the complicity of the petitioner along with others, were found and that several persons in an organized manner committed the alleged offences of unauthorizedly taking away goods from the company's plant and thereafter, money was distributed and shared between the persons including the present petitioner.

7. It is pointed out by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that one security person has indicated in his statement that the gate was open at the instruction of the present petitioner. It is submitted that some of the persons who are allegedly involved in the incident are yet to be interrogated. Investigation is in progress. The IO has also submitted the bail objection.

8. The learned counsel submits that the petitioner has completed 26 days in custody and he may be granted bail on any stringent conditions.

9. However, considering the nature of the materials revealed against the petitioner and the fact that the investigation being in progress at a

crucial stage, the instant bail application stands **rejected** at this stage.

10. Send back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant