GAHC010231832026

2026:GAU-AS:16191

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3370/2026

MD JOMIRUL ISLAM
S/O LATE RAFIQUL ISLAM, R/O. VILLAGE DOLOUTPUR, P.S. BIHPURIA,
DISTRICT LAKHIMPUR, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. B CHOWDHURY,

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 04.11.2026

Heard Mr. B. Chowdhury, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Ms. A. Begum, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying

for grant of bail to the accused applicant namely **Jomirul Islam,** in connection with Behali Police Station Case No. 129/2026 corresponding to G.R. No. 557/2026 registered under Sections 61(2)/179/180/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. The allegations made in the FIR dated 28.09.2026 to the effect that 398 counterfeit Indian currency notes have been recovered from the possession of the accused/applicant. There is an allegation that the accused/applicant along with some other persons are in the business of smuggling and utilizing counterfeit currency notes.

4. On receipt of the FIR, the police registered the Behali Police Station Case No. 129/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the accused/applicant submits that the accused/applicant has already spent 37 days behind bars and the accused/applicant is in fact not involved in any business of counterfeit notes. The recovered counterfeit notes had been given to him by a person belonging to the same village. He submits that in view of the aforesaid, the accused/applicant should be granted bail.

6. The Case Diary in the instant case has been received and the same is perused.

7. Ms. A. Begum, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State of Assam, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail.

8. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and has gone through the materials available on record.

9. Having found prima facie materials against the accused/applicant, this

Court is not inclined to grant relief to the accused/applicant at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

10. In terms of the above, the instant bail application is dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant