GAHC010229612026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2638/2026

NAZIMUDDIN AHMED ALIAS NAZIM
S/O NIZAMUDDIN AHMED
R/O SIBSAGARNEAR JAMA MASJID, P.O. SIBSAGAR, P.S. SIBSAGAR,
DISTRICT SIBSAGAR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S M ABDULLAH P, MR. A E HUSSAIN,MR. S HAQUE

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

18.11.2026

Heard Mr. S. M. Abdullah P, learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant and Mr. M. P. Goswami, learned Addl. PP for the State.

2. This is an application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for granting pre-arrest bail to the accused applicant in connection with

Sivasagar P.S. Case No 213/2026 registered under Sections 61(2)/ 316(2)/ 318(2)/ 318(4) of BNS, 2023.

3. The gist of the case is that an FIR was lodged before the Officer-in-Charge of Sivasagar Police Station on 29.09.2026 alleging that on receipt of reliable and credible secret information regarding illegal money transaction network operating through mule bank accounts in various parts of Assam with it's activities in and around Sivasagar, the Police arrested one Arman Ali, resident of Jengonikotia under Sivasagar Police Station. On search, several passbooks, cheque books of different bank accounts and debit cards were also recovered from the accused person. On preliminary enquiry, it was revealed that the arrested person, namely, Arman Ali was acting on the instructions of the accused applicant and was responsible for collecting various details of bank accounts and thereafter, using those bank accounts and details for operating illegal money transactions.

4. On receipt of the FIR, the Police registered the Sivasagar P.S. Case No 213/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the accused applicant and the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He submits that the accused applicant is willing to cooperate with the investigation, if he is allowed to go on pre-arrest bail.

6. Case Diary in the instant case has been received and the same has been perused.

7. Mr. M. P. Goswami, learned Addl. PP, on the other hand, opposes the bail prayer of the accused applicant stating that the accused applicant is the mastermind involved in a huge racket involved in illegal financial transactions and thereby, committed illegal financial transaction all over Assam. He submits that the investigation is at very nascent stage and therefore, the accused applicant should not be granted pre-arrest bail, at this stage.

8. This Court has heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties and also considered the materials available in the Case Diary.

9. Taking into account the kind of offence, as alleged, as well as the stage of investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief, at this stage. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant