GAHC010229482026

2026:GAU-AS:16197

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2626/2026

PRANAB CHAKRAVARTY AND ANR
S/O- DEBEN CHAKRAVARTY.

2: MAJAMIL ALI
S/O- MATIUR RAHMAN. BOTH ARE RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
CHANDKUCHI P.O- CHANDKUCHI P.S-NALBARI DISTRICT NALBARI ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S PAUL, MS P DASGUPTA,MS F BEGUM

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 04.11.2026

Heard Ms. F. Begum, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. K.K. Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2. This is an application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 whereby the accused/applicants, namely, **1. Pranab Chakravarty and 2. Majamil Ali** are

seeking Anticipatory Bail apprehending arrest in connection with an FIR, which is registered as Nalbari P.S. Case No.204/2026 under Sections 140(2)/204/319(2)/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. The gist of the case is that the FIR was lodged by one Saira Bibi on 12.09.2026 before the Officer-In-Charge of Nalbari Police Station alleging *inter alia* that on 11.09.2026 four unknown persons came to her residence and introduced themselves as Police Officials from Guwahati and came there to take her husband to Nalbari Police Station. Accordingly, they took her husband and thereafter a call was received from them demanding Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand) only for releasing her husband namely, Rajib Ali. It is alleged that an amount of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand) only was transferred through phone pay from the CSP Centre to the Bank Account of one of the accused/applicant, Dipankar Baruah. After receipt of the said amount Rajib Ali was released at Alangidol.

4. On receipt of the FIR, the police registered the Nalbari P.S. Case No.204/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. Ms. F. Begum, learned counsel appearing for the accused/applicant submits that the accused/applicants are not named in the FIR and in fact they are part of the foundation namely, Hope Foundation, which runs the Rehabilitation Centre. She submits that the accused/applicants are not involved in any of the offences as alleged in the FIR. However, they are apprehending arrest as certain persons involved in the management of the Foundation have been arrested. The learned counsel for the accused/applicants submits that the accused/applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and abide by the condition as may be put by this Court.

6. Mr. K.K. Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State of Assam, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail.

7. Case Diary in the in the instant case has been received and the same is perused.

8. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and has gone through the materials available in the CD.

9. Taking into account the materials available in the case diary and the allegations made in the FIR, this Court is of the considered opinion that the accused/applicants should be given the privilege of pre-arrest bail at this stage. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of arrest of the accused/applicants by the investigating authority, they shall be released on pre-arrest bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand) only each with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting Authority subject to the following conditions:-

1. That the accused/applicants shall appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10(ten) days from today and such other dates as required; and co-operate with the investigation as and when required;

2. That the accused/applicants shall not hamper or tamper with the investigation in any manner; and

3. That the accused/applicants shall not,

directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person/witness acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer in connection to this Police Station Case.

10. In terms of the aforesaid directions, the instant Anticipatory Bail Applications stands disposed of as allowed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant