GAHC010087482026

2026:GAU-AS:16217

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3674/2026

M/S. ISHWAR FOOD PRODUCTS PVT. LTD.
TINGRAI
HOOGRIJAN ROAD
PATIA PATHAR GAON
PO TINSUKIA-786125
ASSAM REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR SRI S M AGARWAL RESIDENT OF SIDING BAZAR
TINSUKIA -86125
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES
UDYOG
BHAWAN
NEW DELHI 11

2:THE COMMISSIONER OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE
GOVT OF ASSAM UDYOG BHAWAN BAMUNIMAIDAN GUWAHATI 21

3:NEDFI
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR NEDFI HOUSE GUWAHATI 6

4:THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR F P
DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE ASSAM GUWAHATI 21

5:THE GENERAL MANAGER
DISTRICT INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE CENTRE PO BORGURI TINSUKIA 786125 ASSAM

Advocate for : MS. R JUGLI
Advocate for : GA
ASSAM appearing for THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

Linked Case : CRP/0/0

MS ISHWAR FOOD PRODUCTS PVT LTD
TINGRAI
HOOGRIJAN ROAD
PATIA PATHAR GAON
P.O. TINSUKIA 786125
ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR SRI SM AGARWAL
R/O SIDING BAZAR
TINSUKIA 786125
ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE UNDER SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES
DEPTT .OF INDUSTRIAL POLICY AND PROMOTION DIPP
UDYOG BHAWAN
NEW DELHI 11

Advocate for : RENEM JUGLI
Advocate for : appearing for THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS

-BEFORE-

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHUTOSH KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

04.11.2026
(K. Goswami, J)

Heard Dr. A. Todi, learned Advocate for the applicant; Ms. A. Gayan, learned Central Government Counsel for the respondent No. 1; Mr. G. Das, learned Advocate for the respondent No. 3 and Mr. S. Baruah, learned Junior Government Advocate, Assam for the respondent No. 5.

2. This application has been filed praying for amendment of the connected Interlocutory Application being I.A.(Civil) No. 1477/2026 which has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 40 days in filing the connected Writ Appeal against the judgment and order dated 27.01.2026 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in WP(C) No. 8248/2017.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that inadvertently in the said Interlocutory Application in paragraph No. 2, i.e., the statement made with regard to the number of days of delay in preferring the connected Writ Appeal, it has been written as "11 days" instead of "40 days", for which the instant Interlocutory Application has been filed for correction and amendment of the said typographical error.

4. Learned Advocates appearing for the respondents fairly submit that they have received no instruction to oppose to the prayer made in the instant Interlocutory Application.

5. Having heard the learned Advocates appearing for the contending parties, in the interest of justice, the prayer made for correction of the typographical error in the connected Interlocutory Application stands allowed.

6. The Interlocutory Application stands allowed and disposed off.

7. Necessary corrections be carried out in the Registry.

JUDGE **CHIEF JUSTICE**

Comparing Assistant