GAHC010228932026

2026:GAU-AS:16085

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3291/2026

DHAN MOHAMMAD AND 2 ORS.
S/O- WAJED ALI, R/O- DAMPUR, MAJORSUPA P.S.- HAJO DIST KAMRUP, ASSAM.

2: SAHAJUL HOQUE ALIAS SUNU
S/O- LATE MASHRAF ALI
R/O- DAMPUR
MAJORSUPA
P.S.- HAJO
DIST KAMRUP
ASSAM.

3: ALI HUSSAIN ALIAS KOLU
S/O- LATE AJIR ALI
R/O-DAMPUR
HAGLABORI P.S.- HAJO DIST KAMRUP
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE P.P., ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S M ABDULLAH P, MR. J JAKARIA,MR. MD IMDAD,MD IMRAN,MS F HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 03.11.2026

Heard Mr. S.M. Abdullah P, learned counsel appearing for the accused applicants. Also heard Mr. K.K. Parasar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State respondent.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, praying for granting bail to the accused-applicants, namely 1) Dhan Mohammad 2) Sahajul Hoque @ Sunu and 3) Ali Hussain @ Kolu in connection with Hajo P.S. Case No.480/2026 registered under Sections 325/270/274/ 275/280/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 13(1) of the Assam Cattle Preservation Act, 2021.

3. An FIR was lodged before the Officer-In-Charge of Hajo Police Station on 21.09.2026 by one SI Amarjeet Das, alleging that on receipt of information from reliable source that illegal cow slaughtering activities were being carried out behind Dampur Majorsupa near Kabarshtan, police reached the place of occurrence and it was observed that agitated local villagers had gathered and demolished temporary sheds used as an illegal slaughterhouse. It was alleged that a thorough search was conducted in the nearby sheds and godowns, which led to the recovery and seizure of a total of 59 pieces of suspected cow skins from the sheds and the godown.

4. On receipt of the FIR, police registered Hajo P.S. Case No. 480/2026 registered under the aforementioned Sections.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant submits that the accused applicants are behind the bars for the last 37 days and the slaughterhouse has already been closed. He submits that taking into account the fact that the accused applicants are behind the bars for the last 37 days, the accused applicants should be released on bail.

6. Case diary in the instant case has been received and the same is perused.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail of the accused applicant.

8. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties and has also considered the materials available in the case diary.

9. Taking into account the entire factual matrix as well as materials available in the case diary, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief to the accused applicants at this stage.

10. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected and dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant