GAHC010228802026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3654/2026

SRI NARAYAN KONWAR
COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION, DISPUR GUWAHATI -6

VERSUS

DEBDUT NATH AND 3 ORS.
SON OF LATE CHINTA HARAN NATH. R/O VILL- BONGAIGAON, WARD NO. 8, P.O. BONGAIGAON, DISTRICT BONGAIGAON, ASSAM

2:JAYANT NARLIKAR
IAS THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI -6

3:SURANJANA SENAPATI
THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
ASSAM KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI -19

4:PALLABI MEDHI
THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
BONGAIGAON
P.O. BONGAIGAON
DISTRICT BONGAIGAON
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P K BORAH,

Advocate for the Respondent : MS I DAS,

Linked Case : Cont.Cas(C)/667/2025

DEBDUT NATH
S/O LATE CHINTA HARAN NATH
R/O VILL. BONGAIGAON
WARD NO. 8
P.O. BONGAIGAON
DIST. BONGAIGAON
ASSAM.

VERSUS

NARAYAN KONWAR AND 3 ORS
THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
EDUCATION ELEMENTARY DEPTT.
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 06

2:JAYANT NARLIKAR
IAS
THE COMMISIOENR AND SECY. TO THE GOVT OF ASSAM FINANCE DEPTT.
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 06

3:SURANJANA SENAPATI
THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
ASSAM
GUWAHATI 19

4:PALLABI MEDHI
THE DIST. ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
BONGAIGAON
P.O. AND DIST. BONGAIGAON
ASSAM.

Advocate for : MS. I DAS
Advocate for : MR. D SAIKIA(R1
3) appearing for NARAYAN KONWAR AND 3 ORS

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 12.11.2026

Heard Mr. P.K. Borah, learned counsel appearing for the applicant and Ms. I. Das, learned counsel appearing for the opposite parties.

2. The instant interlocutory application is preferred by the applicant seeking extension of time for compliance of the direction[s] made in a Judgment and Order dated 28.07.2025 passed in a writ petition, W.P.[C.] no. 6667/2010 till the decision of the Hon'ble Division Bench in an appeal preferred against the said Judgment and Order along with an application of condonation of delay.

3. It is pertinent to mention that the respondents herein as the writ petitioners have preferred the writ petition, W.P.[C.] no. 6667/2010 and after hearing, the said writ petition was disposed of with a Judgment and Order dated 28.07.2025 with a set of direction[s] to be complied with within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the said Judgment and Order. When despite elapse of the said period of three months the direction[s] made in the Judgment and Order dated 28.07.2025 were not complied with the respondents herein as the contempt petitioner has preferred the accompanying contempt petition, Cont.Cas[C.]/667/2025. The applicant herein is the respondent no. 1 in Cont.Cas[C.]/667/2025.

4. Mr. Borah, learned counsel appearing for the applicant-respondent no. 1 has submitted that the period of delay of three days in filing the writ appeal against the Judgment and Order dated 28.07.2025 has since been condoned and the Hon'ble Division Bench has ordered to list the writ appeal on 27.01.2027 for further consideration. He has submitted that in such view of the matter, the instant application be allowed.

5. Ms. I. Das, learned counsel appearing for the opposite parties – contempt petitioners has submitted that since the Hon'ble Division Bench has ordered for listing of the appeal on 27.01.2027 for further consideration and for avoiding multiplicity of proceedings, she has no

objection if the extension of the time period for compliance is granted till 31.01.2027.

6. Having regard to the above submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the instant application is allowed partly by extending the time period for compliance of the directions made in the Judgment and Order dated 28.07.2025 passed in W.P.[C.] no. 6667/2010 till 31.01.2027.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant