GAHC010228722026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2610/2026

MD ALI AHMED
S/O. LT. ROHIM UDDIN VILLAGE NADIKA PO ISLAMPUR PS LALUK
DISTRICT LAKHIMPUR ASSAM -787054 PHONE NO. 87948-91089

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY PP ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : RAJU DEURI, MR. S K DEORI

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 12.10.2026

Heard Mr. R. Deuri, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. DP Goswami, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for pre arrest bail in connection with Laluk PS Case No. 191/2026 registered under Section112/ 3(5)/ 3(6)/ 3(8)/ 3(9)/ 308(6)/ 308(7)/ 317(4)/ 318(4)/ 319(2)/ 351(2)/ 351(3)/ 61(2)

of BNS, 2023. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner is apprehending arrest was lodged by the police personnel of Laluk Police Station stating inter alia that the accused persons named in the FIR without any authority and without any professional qualification had assembled themselves in and around the Police Station and is making a livelihood out of the members of the public, who come to the Police Station with police work.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and that he has no connection whatsoever with the offence in question but the police personnel had visited his place for the purpose of arrest. He has also submitted that the notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS has been issued to the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, Mr. DP Goswami, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submits that the allegation made in the FIR shows an organized crime and that during the investigation of the said offence, the petitioner's complicity is found and as such, notice was served upon him.

5. Upon considerations of the submissions made by the learned Counsels for the parties, more so in view of the fact that the allegations made in the FIR even against the accused person mentioned therein are not specific, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the petitioner on pre arrest bail. The petitioner is enlarged to be released on pre-arrest bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting Authority under the following conditions:

i) the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer within 15 days from the date of the order and if the petitioner does not appear within that period, the bail order may be cancelled.

ii) the petitioner will appear before the Investigating Officer thereafter as and when called for.

iii) the petitioner will not hamper, tamper with the evidence, neither influence the witnesses connected with the case.

iv) the petitioner will not leave the place of jurisdiction without the permission of the Arresting Authority.

6. Petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant