GAHC010227202026

2026:GAU-AS:15269

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5619/2026

AYUSH KUMAR SINGH
S/O SHIVJI SINGH, RESIDENT OF BONGAON, BELTOLA, HOSUE NO.04,
BELTOLA COLLEGE ROAD, P.O. AND P.S. BELTOLA, GUWAHATI-781028,
DIST. KAMRUPM, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-78006.

2:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY

HOME AND POLITICAL DEPARTMENT
GOVT. OF ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006

3:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM
POLICE HEADQUARTER
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-781007
ASSAM.

4:THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
SPECIAL BRANCH /SECURITY
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-781019
ASSAM.

5:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782001

For the Petitioner (s) : Mr. I. C. Deka, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. R. Baruah, Govt. Advocate

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
O R D E R

09.10.2026

Heard Mr. I. C. Deka, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner. Mr. S. R. Baruah, the learned counsel appears on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 5.

2. The present writ petition has been filed by the Petitioner seeking a direction upon the Respondent Authorities that the Respondent Authorities should provide the Petitioner with a personal security officer on the ground that the Petitioner who contested the Nagaon Parliamentary Constituency Bye-Election, 2026 was provided two personal security officers and the said two personal security officers would be withdrawn subsequent to the results of the Nagaon Parliamentary Constituency Bye-

Election, 2026 are announced.

3. This Court duly takes note of that the Petitioner had not submitted any representation requesting the Authorities to provide a Personal Security Officer post the declaration of the results. The last of the representation was on 23.09.2026 wherein the Petitioner requested for the Personal Security Officer as he was contesting as a candidate to the Nagaon Parliamentary Constituency Bye-Election, 2026. There is no material placed before this Court which may remotely even suggest that there is a threat perception.

4. In addition to that, this Court also observes that for issuance of a writ of mandamus, there has to be a demand for justice and without the demand being made and the demand refused, a writ in the nature of mandamus cannot be issued.

5. Consequently, this Court does not find any merit in the instant writ petition for which the writ petition stands dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant