GAHC010243342026

2026:GAU-AS:16684

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2543/2026

RAJ UDDIN LASKAR AND ORS.
SO RAFIQUE UDDIN LASKAR
R/O. VILL. PUB SAMARALI
P/O. CHOWDHURY BAZAR
P/S. MURAJHAR
PIN 782439
DIST. HOJAI
ASSAM

2: RAFIQUE UDDIN LASKAR
SO LT ABDUL NOOR LASKAR
RO VILL PUB SAMARALI
PO CHOWDHURY BAZAR
PS MURAJHAR
PIN 782439
DIST HOJAI
ASSAM

3: RAJIDA BEGUM MAJUMDAR
WO ABDUL MALIK LASKAR
RO VILL PUB SAMARALI
PO CHOWDHURY BAZAR
PS MURAJHAR
PIN 782439
DIST HOJAI
ASSAM

4: MOHSINA BEGUM
WO ABDUL AHAD LASKAR
RO VILL PUB SAMARALI
PO CHOWDHURY BAZAR
PS MURAJHAR
PIN 782439

DIST HOJAI
ASSAM
VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

Advocate for : MR. T A LASKAR
Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for STATE OF ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 12.11.2026

Heard Mr. D. K. Agarwala, learned counsel for the accused applicants. Also heard Mr. B. Sarma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State of Assam.

2. This is an application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 whereby the accused/applicants namely, 1. **Raj Uddin Laskar, 2. Rafique Uddin Laskar, 3. Rajida Begum Majumdar and 4. Mohsina Begum** are seeking Anticipatory Bail apprehending arrest in connection with an FIR, which is registered as Murajhar Police Station Case No. 188/2026 registered under Sections 3(5)/326(g)/329(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. An FIR was lodged on 26.09.2026 by one, Rajib Uddin Laskar before the Officer-In-Charge of Murajhar Police Station alleging *inter alia* that the accused applicant along with others had set on fire the house of the informant on 24.09.2026 at about 3:30 a.m.

4. On receipt of the FIR, the police registered the Murajhar Police Station Case No. 188/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the accused/applicants submits that two of the accused applicants are ladies and therefore, their cases should be considered for pre-arrest bail.

6. Mr. B. Sarma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State of Assam, on the other hand, opposes the prayer of pre-arrest bail.

7. Case Diary of the instant case has been received and perused the same.

8. This Court has heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the materials that have been brought on record by way of the petition.

9. On finding sufficient incriminating materials against the accused/applicants of their *prima facie* involvement in the instant case, this Court does not find any merit in the prayer for anticipatory bail and therefore, the instant anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

10. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is rejected and disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant