GAHC010213862026

2026:GAU-AS:15500

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./2995/2026

MD LALCHAN ALI
S/O HANIF ALI VILLAGE NIZ GONMOW POLICE STATION SUALKUCHI
DISTRICT KAMRUP ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A PARAMANIK, P. KALITA

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN
ORDER

13.10.2026

Heard Mr A Paramanik, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr K K Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Assam.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sualkuchi PS Case No. 57/2026, under Sections 127(2)/143 of the BNS, 2023.

3. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner was arrested on 22.09.2026, was

lodged by the Police personnel of Sualkuchi Police Station, stating, *inter alia,* that on an information that the petitioner had brought several persons from different places and have confined them with the intention to transport them to other States, the Police personnel along with team raided the house of the petitioner and 10 person were found confined inside a tinshed room. It is further stated that the persons were recovered and during investigation, it was found that they were kept for transporting them outside the State and that the petitioner is involved in the human trafficking racket.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has a trade licence for doing the work of contract civil construction and manpower supply in the establishment of Salim Placement Services and that the petitioner upon asking the persons, had kept them for the purpose of engaging them for work.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, on the perusal of the Case Diary, more specifically, the statement of the persons, who were kept confined, submitted that the petitioner is involved in human trafficking and that by inducement, the said persons were brought by the petitioner to his house with the intention to send them outside the State.

6. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned counsels, more so, in view of the fact that the petitioner was inside the jail for quite some time and the victims were also recovered and also due to the fact that the petitioner was issued with a trade licence by the Office of the Commissionerate of Labour, this Court deems it fit to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/-, with one local surety each to the satisfaction of the learned JMFC, Hajo, under the following conditions:-

i) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned IO and Court, as and when called for; without fail;

ii) the petitioner shall not hamper and tamper with the evidence of the case, and/or

influence the witnesses; and

iii) the petitioner shall not leave their residence without prior permission of the Investigating Officer.

7. Bail Application stands disposed of.

8. Send back the Case Diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant