GAHC010211822026

2026:GAU-AS:14952

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./2963/2026

INDUKALPA BORUAH ALIAS LATU BORUAH
S/O SRI ROMAKANTA BORUAH, RESIDENT OF BENGENAKHOWA, LACHIT BORPHUKAN PATH, P.S. GOLAGHAT, DISTRICT GOLAGHAT, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K BORUAH, MS. N LETRO,MR. A M AHMED,MS. M BHUYAN,MS. P BORAH,MR. M ALAM

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

06.10.2026

Heard Mr H R A Choudhury, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Mr A M Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr R J Baruah, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Assam.

2. By this application the petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Golaghat PS Case No. 208/2026, under Sections 317(4)/112/318(4)/319(2)/308(6)/308(7)/61(2)/

351(2)/351(3)/3(5)/3(6)/3(8)/3(9) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The FIR in connection with which the petitioner was arrested on 05.09.2026, was lodged by the Police personnel of Golaghat Police Station, stating *inter alia,* that the petitioner along with another as well as others, without any authority and without any professional qualification, has assembled themselves in and around the Golaghat Police Station and the DC Office, Golaghat, and were systematically preying upon the informants coming to the Police Station for their grievances and also that they put influence in verification process and help the accused persons to get rid of the cases.

4. The learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific allegation is made against the petitioner in the said FIR and all general allegations were mentioned therein.

5. Mr R J Baruah, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on perusal of the Case Diary, has submitted few facts, which are not relevant to the instant case. He has also placed the statement of the IO, wherein it was stated that the IO had information that the petitioner is involved in certain offences.

6. Upon consideration of the submissions made by the learned counsels, more so, in view of the fact that no specific allegation is made against the petitioner, neither any victim has been mentioned in the FIR or in the forwarding report to have been victimized by the petitioner, this Court enlarges the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/-, with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the CJM, Golaghat, under the following conditions:-

i) the petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer/concerned Court, as and when called for.

ii) the petitioner shall not hamper and tamper with the evidence of the case, and/or influence the witnesses; and

iii) the petitioner shall not leave his residence without prior permission of the Investigating Officer/jurisdictional Court.

7. Bail Application stands disposed of.

8. Send back the Case Diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant