GAHC010183732026

2026:GAU-AS:14851

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2058/2026

RATUL ALI AND ANR
SONS OF MANMIL ALI

2: NUR HAQUE
SON OF MANMIL ALI
BOTH ARE RESIDENTS OF VILL HOWLIGHAT
P.S. MUKALMUA DIST NALBARI ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, GOVT OF ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A H M R CHOUDHURY, MR N RAHMAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 05.10.2026

Heard Mr. N. Rahman, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. A. Begum, the learned APP for the State.

2. By this application the petitioners have raised their apprehension that they

can be arrested in connection with some information given against them before the Mukalmua Police Station but they could not obtained the details of the information or any copy of the FIR lodged against them.

3. The learned APP was as such directed to get the instruction from the Mukalmua Police Station, as to whether any FIR has been registered against the petitioners. On 03-09-2026, the APP had placed the instruction that, a case have been registered against the petitioners being Mukalmua P. S. Case No. 134 of 2026. However, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted a copy of the FIR of the said case which shows that the name of the accused person although is the same in the said case, but he is not the present petitioner, No.1. As such, this court had further directed the learned APP to get the proper instruction as to whether, any case has been registered against Ratul Ali, son of one Manmil Ali and against his brother.

4. Today when the matter is called up, Ms. A. Begum, the learned APP has placed an instruction given by the police personnel of Mukalmua Police Station, wherein, it was stated that, although no case was found against Ratul Ali and Nur Haque, i.e., petitioner nos. 1 and 2, in the records of Mukalmua Police Station but it is also stated that, there is an allegation against Manmil Ali, i.e. the father of the petitioner, as well as petitioner nos. 1 and 2 with regard to purchase of some buffalos. However, it is also stated therein, that an enquiry was conducted, but could not be completed as the persons did not respond to the enquiry process. As such, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since no case has been registered against the petitioners, the matter be closed.

5. Prayer is allowed.

6. The instant Anticipatory Bail Application is closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant