GAHC010199782022

2026:GAU-AS:16012

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

**Case No. : WP(C)/5023/2022**

ASINTA KR. RAY
S/O LT. HARESWAR RAY

VILL.- SINGIMARI
P.O.- NAYAGAON
P.S.- ABHAYAPURI
DIST.- BONGAIGAON
ASSAM
PIN- 783384.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPTT. OF REVENUE AND D.M (LR)
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 6.

2:NATIONAL HIGHWAY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER OF MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAY
GOVT. OF INDIA
NEW DELHI- 110001.

3:DY. GENERAL MANAGER (P)
NATIONAL HIGHWAY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
PMU
DHUBRI

P.O. DHUBRI
DIST.- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301.

4:DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BONGAIGAIN
P.O. BONGAIGAON
DIST.- BONGAIGAON
ASSAM
PIN- 783381.

5:THE ADDL. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
(REVENUE) BONGAIGAON
P.O.- BONGAIGAON
DIST.- BONGAIGAON
ASSAM
PIN- 783381.

6:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
SRIJANGRAM REVENUE CIRCLE
P.O.- ABHAYAPURI
DIST.- BONGAIGAON
ASSAM
PIN- 783384.

---

Advocate for : MR. K C ROY
Advocate for : ASSTT.S.G.I. appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY**

**ORDER**

***02.11.2026***

1. None appears for the petitioner on call. However, Mr. A. Bhattacharyya, learned Government Advocate, Assam representing the respondent No. 1 and Mr. R. K. Talukdar, learned Standing Counsel for the NHIDCL representing the respondent Nos. 2 and 3, are present.

2. The petitioner herein claims to be the owner in respect of a plot of

land measuring 2 Bighas covered by Dag Nos. 1 and 2 of Patta No. 202 of village Singimari Part-II under Srijangram Revenue Circle in the district of Bongaigaon. According to the petitioner, although his land was not included in the survey, yet, the same has been taken over by the respondents for the purpose of construction of Tulungia Jagighopa Bridge Approach Section, that too, without making any assessment or paying any compensation to the petitioner.

3. Mr. R. K. Talukdar, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent NHIDCL submits that due verification was carried out, and a joint survey report was submitted and as per joint survey report, the Dag Nos. 1 and 2 of Patta No. 202, belonging to the petitioner has not been notified. Accordingly, it is contended by them that no land from the Dag Nos. 1 and 2 of Patta No. 202, has been acquired for the purpose of the aforesaid project.

4. Be that as it may, as none appears for the petitioner on call, the writ petition stands dismissed for non prosecution.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**