GAHC010151432025

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/2212/2025

SABITA TALUKDAR ALIAS DEVI
D/O. LT. UPENDRA NATH TALUKDAR AND W/O. SRI ADITYA DEKA, R/O. JONAKI PATH JANAKPUR, KAHILIPARA, GUWAHATI-781019, DIST. KAMRUP (M), ASSAM.

2: ON THE DEATH OF SRI BHUPENDRA MOHAN TALUKDAR HIS LEGAL HEIRS AND SUCCESSORS
NAMELY

2.1: PHULESWARI TALUKDAR
W/O. LT. BHUPENDRA MOHAN

2.2: RITA MANJARI TALUKDAR
D/O. LT. BHUPENDRA MOHAN

2.3: DEEPA MANJARI TALUKDAR
D/O. LT. BHUPENDRA MOHAN
ALL ARE R/O. RAJGARH
ANIL NAGA
GUWAHATI-781024
DIST. KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM.

3: KABINDRA MOHAN TALUKDAR
S/O/ LT. CHANDRAMOHAN TALUKDAR
R/O. VILL. BUDARURTUP
MOUZA-BARPETA
DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-781301

VERSUS

ON THE DEATH OF PURNIMA DEKA
HIS LEGAL HEIRS

1.1:PRATIVA DEKA
W/O. PRAHLAD DAS
R/O. ISSAPURHATI
BARPETA
MOUZA-BARPETA
DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-781314.
PRESENT ADDRESS 2ND FLOOR
DISHANT REGENCY
PRAGOTI PATH
JANAKPUR
KAHILIPARA
NEAR JOURNALIST COLONY
PO KAHILIPARA
SO
GUWAHATI 781019
DIST KAMRUP M ASSAM

1.2:BINITA DEKA
W/O. JITU DAS
R/O. VILL.- PATBAUSHI
DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-781314.

2:PADMA DEKA
S/O. LT. KHARGADHAR DEKA

3:SRINATH DEKA
S/O. LT. PUSPA DEKA.
NO. 2 AND 3 ARE R/O. VILL.- SUNDARIDIA (BUDARURTUP SUNDARIDIA ROAD)
MOUZA-BARPETA
DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-781314.

4:HARINATH DEKA
S/O. LT PUSPA DEKA
R/O. VILL.- DOLAIGAON
P/O. BONGAIGAON
DIST. BONGAIGAON
ASSAM

PIN-783380

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR Z MUKIT, MR. A SATTAR,S CHOUDHURY,MR. WASIM HOQUE

Advocate for the Respondent : S A BAKHTIAR, MR K JAIN(R-2,3),S A BAKHTIAR(R-2,3)

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

05.10.2026

1. Heard Mr. W Hoque, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. SA Bakhtiar, learned counsel for all the respondents.

2. This interlocutory application was filed by the applicant under Order VI Rule 14A(2), (3) & (4) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, praying for allowing the petitioner to take steps upon the respondent No. 1 (i) in the connected RSA 51/2025 and IA (Civil) No. 1322/2025 at new address, which was not mentioned in the record. However, the learned counsel for the applicant submits that since the respondent No. 1 (i) has already appeared in the connected RSA, this interlocutory application has become infructuous.

3. Considering the prayer and that the respondent No. 1 (i) has appeared in the connected RSA 51/2025, this Interlocutory Application has become infructuous.

4. As such, same is dismissed on being infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant