GAHC010110002025

2026:GAU-AS:15007

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/277/2025

KHANINDRA NATH
S/O LT. JOGENDRA NATH, R/O BAHALPUR, JOGIPARA PT-I, P.O.- CHAPAR, P.S.- CHAPAR, DIST- DHUBRI (ASSAM), PIN-783371

VERSUS

DIBAKAR NATH, IAS AND ANR
THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER, DHUBRI, ASSAM, PIN-783301

2:PRANJAL KUMAR DAS
ACS
THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT COMMISSIONER (REVENUE BRANCH)
DHUBRI
ASSAM-78330

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M U MONDAL, A A AHMED,MR. A W MONDAL,R KHATUN,MR K ISLAM

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

ORDER

07.10.2026

1. Heard Mr. M. U. Mondal, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. M. Barman, learned Junior Government Advocate, Assam representing

the contemnor.

2. The present contempt petition is filed alleging willful and deliberate violation of this Court's order dated 05.02.2025 passed in WP(C) No. 6948/2024.

3. By the aforesaid order, the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Additional District Commissioner, (Revenue), Dhubri/ contemnor was directed to furnish the list of documents which, according to him were not submitted by the petitioner with his application dated 29.06.2024 within a period of 7 working days.

4. The same was not provided to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is filed.

5. Notices were issued to the contemnor, however, none appeared to represent the contemnor.

6. In the aforesaid backdrop, this Court requested Ms. M. Barman, learned Junior Government Advocate, Assam to complete her instructions in this regard from the Additional District Commissioner, (Revenue Branch) Dhubri,.

7. The Additional District Commissioner, (Revenue Branch) Dhubri, has communicated to her that the earlier incumbent has in the meantime been transferred and that may be the reason for his non-appearance.

8. Be that as it may, it was further intimated to Ms. Barman, learned Jr. Government Advocate, Assam that the deficiency in the application form of the petitioner was that the consideration amount mentioned in the affidavit is less than the Zonal value and the same was communicated to the petitioner vide office letter No. LS-14/36/2026/LSS-DBB/101 dated

06.10.2026.

9. In view of such instruction in the opinion of this Court, the order dated 05.02.2025 passed in WP(C) No. 6948/2024, stands complied with.

10. Mr. Mondal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will instruct his client to cure the defect in the meantime.

11. In view of the aforesaid, the present contempt petition stands closed.

12. The respondent No. 2 shall do the needful, in terms of this Court's order dated 05.02.2025 passed in WP(C) No. 6948/2024, in the event, the petitioner submits such documents and cures the defect.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant