GAHC010085022018

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3754/2018

BIPUL MECH
(EX- ABC/216 BIPUL MECH), SON OF LATE BAPUKON MECH, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- PURNA KAKI KACHARI GAON, P.O. PURNA KAKI, P.S. KAKI, DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM, PIN- 782442

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, DEPTT. OF HOME, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM
ULUBARI
DIST. KAMRUP(M)
GUWAHATI-7.

3:THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE (CR)
DIPHU
DISTRICT- KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM
PIN- 782462

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
HAMREN
DISTRICT- WEST KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM
PIN- 78246

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P C DEY, MR. I HAQUE

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 25.11.2026

Heard Mr. P. C. Dey, learned counsel, appearing for the petitioner.

Mr. D. Bora, learned Government Advocate, has received the record of the Departmental proceedings instituted against the petitioner in original and the same is placed on record along with a copy of the order dated 21.08.2025 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, West Karbi Anglong, Hamren, in Sessions Case No.49/2022, wherein, the criminal proceedings instituted against the petitioner was held to have abated on his death. Although, the date of death of the petitioner, herein, is not reflected in the said order dated 21.08.2025, however, the petitioner having passed on, prior to 21.08.2025, there being no application for substituting the legal representatives of the petitioner in the present proceedings, this Court is of the considered view that the legal heirs of the petitioner are not desirous to press the claim as made in the present writ petition any further.

Accordingly, in view of the above position, the original petitioner having passed on and no substitution being effected, there is none to press the present writ petition, and accordingly, the same stands dismissed for non prosecution.

The records produced by Mr. D. Bora, learned Government Advocate, is returned, herewith.

It is further provided that, in the event, the legal heirs of the

petitioner are desirous to assail the orders impugned in the present writ petition, they are at liberty to institute appropriate proceedings, subject to question of limitation, and the present order shall not be a bar to such institution of proceedings.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant