GAHC010057212017

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7815/2017

SAHAB UDDIN CHOUDHURY
S/O. LT. KAMAL UDDIN CHOUDHURY, VILL. and P.O. DALOOGRAM, DIST. CACHAR, ASSAM, PIN-788101.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM and 5 ORS.
TO BE BY THE COMM. and SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, EDUCATION SECONDARY DEPTT., DISPUR, GHY.-06.

2:THE DIRECTOR

SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GHY.-19.

3:THE STATE SELECTION BOARD
FOR SELECTION OF PRINCIPALS IN PROVINCIALISED HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOLS REP. BY ITS MEMBER SECRETARY I.E. THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GHY.-19.

4:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS

CACHAR DISTRICT CIRCLE
CACHAR
P.O. SILCHAR
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM

PIN-788001.

5:THE SCHOOL SELECTION COMMITTEE

NEHRU H.S. SCHOOL
P.O. PAILAPOOL
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM
PIN-788098
REP. BY ITS MEMBER SECRETARY.

6:THE IN CHARGE PRINCIPAL

NEHRU H.S. SCHOOL
P.O. PAILAPOOL
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM
PIN-788098.

7:HIMANISH PURKAYASTHA
S/O LATE HARIPADA PURAKAYASTHA
VILL. JOYPUR PART-II
P.O. JOYPUR RAJABAZAR
DISTRICT- CACHAR
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.N H LASKAR, DR.B AHMED,MRK UDDIN,MR.N HAQUE

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. A H M R CHOUDHURY (R-7), ,,SC, SECONDARY EDUCATION

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

16.11.2026

Heard Mr. N. Haque, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2] The petitioner before this Court was aggrieved by the selection process which was initiated by the respondent Department pursuant to the advertisement dated 16.06.2016 issued by the Department. Subsequently, the

selection process was cancelled by the Department and a fresh selection process has been initiated.

3] In the affidavit filed by the private respondent No.7 the fresh advertisement dated 06.11.2026 is enclosed. However, this advertisement is not assailed in the present proceeding.

4] The learned counsel for the petitioner therefore, submits that he be permitted to assail the present advertisement.

5] In that view of the matter, since the issues raised in the present petition are no longer required to be decided in view of the cancellation of the earlier selection process and the subsequent issuance of fresh advertisement, this writ petition stands closed as not pressed.

6] Interim order, if any stands vacated.

7] Pending Interlocutory Application, if any is also dismissed.

8] Needless to say, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the fresh selection process, if so advised.

9] Ms. P. Das, learned Standing Counsel, Secondary Education appears for the respondents Nos. 1, 2 & 4; Mr. A.H.M.R. Choudhury, learned counsel appears for the respondent No.7.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant