

GAHC010032972026



2026:GAU-AS:3712

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/375/2026

SAHINUR ALI
S/O SURUD JAMAL
RESIDENT OF VILL- BIJOYPUR BANGAON, P.S. RANGJULI, DIST.
GOALPARA, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR A K DAS, MR A.SARKAR

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

O R D E R

13.03.2026

Heard Mr. A.K. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. K. Baishya,
learned Addl. P.P. for the State respondent.

2. This is an application filed under section 482 BNSS, 2023 praying for pre-arrest bail to the accused/petitioner in connection with Rangjuli P.S Case No. 40/2025 u/s 303(2) of BNS Act pending before the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Goalpara.
3. The case arose out of an FIR dated 25/10/25 lodged by Shainur Islam, with the allegation that on 19.10.2025 in the morning, he parked his motorcycle AS-25-AA-4187 near the newly constructed building of Rabha Hasong and proceeded for some work but after returning at noon, he found it missing, and despite searching could not find it.
4. The two persons were arrested during investigation, namely the informant and one Habibor Rahman. It is submitted by the petitioner that the petitioner is the friend of the said Habibor Rahman, and therefore, police are looking for him, causing him apprehension off arrest, and hence this petition.
5. Case diary has been received along with the bail application.
6. The I/O has contended that the FIR was actually a false FIR, which was part of a conspiracy involving these accused persons including the informant and the arrested persons about taking over a motorcycle from a dealer on down payment and EMI and misappropriating the same. It is stated that the motorcycle is yet to be recovered. The IO objects to the grant of bail.
7. The learned Addl. P.P. has drawn my attention to the statement of the informant and also that of the co-accused.
8. Considering the nature of the materials revealed, it may not be prudent to grant anticipatory bail at this stage, in the interest of effective investigation into the matter.
9. Accordingly, the bail petition stands **rejected** at this stage.

10. Return back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant