GAHC010273432025

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7166/2025

SUNIL KUMAR SINGH
SO CHANDRIKA SINGH, RO JAY AUTO MOBILE, AT ROAD KHUTIKATIA, KHUTI KATIA, NAGAON, HAIBORGAON, ASSAM, PIN 782002, AADHAR NO 9183 3831 5278, MOBILE NO 9954165691

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND OTHRS
DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS, THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, ASSAM SECRETARIAT, BLOCK A, 2ND FLOOR, DISPUR, GUWAHATI, ASSAM 781006

2:RESERVE BANK OF INDIA
PAN BAZAAR
STATION ROAD
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
PIN 781001
THROUGH ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR

3:DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
STATE OF ASSAM
ASSAM POLICE HEADQUARTERS
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI 781007

4:SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DISTRICT NAGAON
ASSAM

5:STATION HOUSE OFFICER
POLICE STATION NAGAON

MORIGAON ROAD
BARBHETI
NAGAON
PIN 782002

6:HDFC BANK LIMITED
PADMALAYA BUILDING AT ROAD
HAIBARGAON
NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN 782002
THROUGH ITS BRANCH MANAGE

Advocate for the Petitioner : MD A ISLAM,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/300/2026

SUNIL KUMAR SINGH
S/O CHNDRIKA SINGH
RESIDENT OF JAY AUTO MOBILE
AT ROAD KHUTIKATIA
KHUTI KATIA
NAGAON
HAIBORGAON
ASSAM
782002

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS
THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
ASSAM SCRETARIAT
BLOCK A
2UD FLOOR
DISPUR
GUWAHATI
ASSAM781006.

2:RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

PAN BAZAAR
STATION ROAD
GUWAHATI
ASSAM-781001
THROUGH ITS REGIONL DIRECTOR.

3:DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
STATE OF ASSAM
ASSAM POLICE HEADQUARTERS
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI781007.

4:SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DISTRICT NAGAON
GUWAHATI
ASSAM.

5:STATION HOUSE OFFICER
POLICE STATION NAGAON MORIGAON ROAD
BARBHETI
NAGAON - 782002.

6:HDFC BANK LIMITED
PADMALAYA BUILDING AT ROAD HAIBARGAON NAGAON ASSAM 782002
THROUGH ITS BRANCH MANAGER

Advocate for : MD A ISLAM
Advocate for : GA
ASSAM appearing for STATE OF ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

11.11.2026

Heard Mr. K. Bhardwaj, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner through virtual mode.

Mr. K. Bhardwaj, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner through

virtual mode submits that he has been informed that, during the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner had expired. It is further submitted that in spite of the best efforts, the learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to contact the legal heirs of the deceased petitioner for taking necessary steps for substitution.

Under such circumstances, at this stage, this Court considers it appropriate to close the matter, giving liberty to the legal heirs of the deceased petitioner to approach the Court and take necessary steps for substitution, if so advised.

Accordingly, this writ petition stands closed with liberty.

Interim order(s), if any, stands vacated.

Pending I.A.(s), if any, are also dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant