GAHC010000092026

2026:GAU-AS:1279

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/169/2026

HUSSAIN AHMED LASKAR @ FARUK
S/O JALAL UDDIN LASKAR
R/O VILL -METHIGAON
P.S. MURAJHAR
DIST.- HOJAI
(ASSAM)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR A W AMAN
Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 02.02.2026

Heard Mr. S. Nawaz, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. S.H. Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This petition under Section 482 BNSS is filed by the petitioner, namely, **Hussain Ahmed Laskar @ Faruk**, apprehending arrest in connection with Murajhar P.S. Case No. 252/2025 registered u/s 61(2)/336(3)/340(1)/340 (2) of BNS.

3. FIR dated 3.12.2025 lodged by Sajina Begum with the allegation that some persons and shops in the Nilbagan market area were indulging in illegal business of making fake and fraudulent documents which are used for procuring various other government documents fraudulently.

4. I have perused the relevant portions of the case diary that, there are materials to indicate that the shop of the petitioner was found to be closed by the I/O, and he was also found to be evading. It appears that subsequently, with the help of his family members, his shop could be searched, and various documents in original and some other materials were seized. I have not noticed any investigation materials indicating seizure of fake documents from the premises or shop of the petitioner.

5. It is neither submitted by the learned prosecution, nor have I noticed upon perusing of the case about any materials found so far regarding any involvement of the petitioner in conspiracy with the main accused in creating fraudulent documents. In his statement recorded during investigation also, the main accused, Abu Hanifa, though incriminates himself, does not reveal anything adverse regarding the present petitioner. The main incriminating material against the petitioner that has come to notice is the allegations against him as well, made in the FIR, and his name being in the FIR. Therefore, in the given facts and circumstances, it would be justified to grant him anticipatory bail.

6. Accordingly, in the event of arrest of the accused-petitioner, they shall be released on bail in connection with Murajhar P.S. Case No. 252/2025 registered u/s 61(2)/336(3)/340(1)/340 (2) of BNS, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- with one suitable surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority.

7. The direction for bail is further subject to the condition(s) that the accused-petitioner:

(a) he shall not hamper or tamper with evidence; and

(b) he shall appear before the I/O for examination.

8. Violation or breach of condition(s) shall entail cancellation of the bail.

9. The anticipatory bail application stands allowed and disposed of.

10. Return back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant