GAHC040009212021

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/302/2021

Darshan Singh
S/o Late Atma Singh
House No. 1168, Phase IX,
Sector 63, Mohali, Punjab 160062

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and 4 Ors.
Through its Chief Secretary,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. A.P 2:The Commissioner (Power)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar

3:The Commissioner (Finance)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar

4:Directorate of Audit and Pension
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Naharlagun

5:The Chief Engineer (Power)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Department of Power
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanaga

Advocate for the Petitioner : 1 S Pandey,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), Lissing Perme,SC(Power)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

18.11.2026

Heard Mr. S. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. P. Sangeeta, learned Government Advocate for the State respondent Nos.1, 3 & 4 and Mr. L. Perme, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Nos.2 & 5.

2. The petitioner, who was serving as Chief Engineer (Power) under the Government of Arunachal Pradesh, has filed the present writ petition seeking release of his outstanding salary and other retiral benefits. The petitioner was compulsorily retired from service vide an order dated 04.03.2019, with retrospective effect from 30.12.1997.

3. The grievances of the petitioner as stated above relates to non-payment of arrears of salary for the period from 25.06.1995 to 30.12.1997, arrears of pension, retirement gratuity, leave encashment, and other admissible retiral benefits which accrued to him.

4. The respondent Nos. 2 and 5, has filed a compliance affidavit, stating that the arrears of salary, gratuity, as well as pensionary benefits payable to the petitioner had been released. However, this Court, by an order dated 25.09.2024, directed the Commissioner (Power), Government of Arunachal Pradesh to furnish the petitioner with a detail break-up of the calculations relating to his pension and other retirement benefits, which has been provided to the petitioner.

5. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the Commissioner, department of Power, has filed a compliance affidavit indicating the details of the payments made to the petitioner. The relevant paragraphs are reproduced below:

> *"2. The petitioner was granted an Arrear Pay of Rs.3,17,162/- (Rupees Three Lakh Seventeen Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Two) only vides order dated 21.12.2021 and same was already released and paid to the petitioner. The Arrear Payment order dated 21.12.2021 in favour of the petitioner and its details calculation is annexed as Annexure No.A (Series).*
>
> *3. The petitioner was granted Leave Encashment of Rs.192,183/- (Rupees One Lakh Ninety-two Thousand One Hundred Eighty Three) only vides 27.12.2021 and same was already released and paid to the petitioner. The leave Encashment Payment Order dated 27.12.2021 showing details calculation of the same is annexed as Annexure No.B.*
>
> *4. The petitioner was granted CGEGIS of Rs.28,072/- (Rupees Twenty Eight Thousand Seventy-Two) only vide order dated 14.02.2022 and same was already released and paid to the petitioner. The CGEGIS payment order dated 14.02.2022 and Sheet showing details calculation of the same is annexed as Annexure No.C (Series).*
>
> *5. The petitioner was granted Retirement Gratuity of Rs.2,33,334/- (Rupees Two Lakh Thirty-Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Four) only vide orders dated 02.02.2022 and same was already released and paid to the petitioner. The Retirement Gratuity Payment order dated 02.02.2022 and sheet detail calculation of the same is annexed as Annexure No.D (Series).*
>
> *6. The pension has been also released to the petitioner and presently the petitioner is getting pension of Rs.54,095/- (Rupees Fifty-Four Thousand Ninety Five) only as Annexure No.E."*

6. Today, Mr. S. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner, fairly submits that the petitioner has received the aforesaid payments and that the respondents have substantively complied with the directions issued by this Court. He further submits that, in view of the payments made and the details furnished by the respondents, the grievances raised by the petitioner in present writ petition stand redressed and, therefore, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the matter any further.

7. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, and having regard, to the compliance affidavit filed by the respondents, this Court is satisfied that the grievances raised in the writ petition have been redressed. Consequently, no further adjudication is called for in the matter.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous, in view of the subsequent compliance by the respondents and the receipt and satisfaction expressed by the learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner.

9. This writ petition stands disposed.

JUDGE

Chagang (SPA)