GAHC040008362024

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/136/2025

Shri Nyasam Jongsam
Son of Late Khombang Jongsam, resident of Rangkatu, PO Chanaglang, PS Changlang, District Changlang, Arunachal Pradesh 792120

VERSUS

Shi Tesam Pongte and 2 Ors
Son of Shri Khodong Pongte, Resident of Changlang Town, PO Changlang, PS Changlang, District Changlang, Arunachal Pradesh 2:Shri Dihom Kitnya
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Shri Nokchom Kitnya
resident of Village Hatongchu
PO Changlang
PS Changlang
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh 792120

3:Smti Marina Kenglang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Daughter of Late Yan Tikhak
resident of Old Changlang Village
PO Changlang
PS Changlang
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh 79212

Advocate for the Petitioner : Petitioner in Person, Bate Joel Koyu,Legal Aid Counsel

Advocate for the Respondent : Gegum Lollen, Somila,T Boo,S K Deori,Y Mugli,Taba Tokur,Khoda Apa,Geken Ingo,Kirmani Lollen,Gamken Bam

Linked Case :**Election Pet./2/2024**

Nyasam Jongsam
Age: 50
Occupation :
Address:Son of Late Khombang Jongsam
resident of Rangkatu
PO Chanaglang
PS Changlang
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh 792120

VERSUS

Tesam Pongte and 2 Ors
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Son of Shri Khodong Pongte
Resident of Changlang Town
PO Changlang
PS Changlang
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh
2:Dihom Kitnya
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Son of Shri Nokchom Kitnya
resident of Village Hatongchu
PO Changlang
PS Changlang
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh 792120

3:Smti Marina Kenglang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Daughter of Late Yan Tikhak
resident of Old Changlang Village
PO Changlang
PS Changlang
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh 792120

Advocate for : Petitioner in Person
Advocate for : S K Deori appearing for Tesam Pongte and 2 Ors: Advocate ,
, appearing for 2, 3, respectively.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

Date : 18.11.2026

Heard Mr. Nyasam Jongsam, petitioner-in-person. Also heard Ms. Somila, learned counsel for the Opposite Party/returned candidate.

2. Petitioner-in-person submits that this interlocutory application filed under Order XVI Rule 1(1)(2)(3)(4), 1A and Rule 6 *read with* Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, has become infructuous and he, therefore, prays that the instant application may be closed.

3. In view of the submission as made above by Mr. Jongsam, petitioner-in-person, and not objected to by Ms. Somila, learned counsel for the Opposite Party/returned candidate, the instant interlocutory application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant