



Serial No. 05
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 443 of 2025

Date of Decision: 20.02.2026

Shri JC-356629 W Subedar (Cipher)
Rajib Kumar Sarkar
S/o (L) Rampada Sarkar, HQ IGAR (North),
Signals Coy Inspector General Assam Rifles (North),
C/o-99 APO, Pin – 932554 represented
by his wife namely Tapati Sarkar,
Aged about 51 years of Ward No. 27, House No. 26
Barman Lane, near Railway, Station Silchar,
Tarapur Part-I, Cachar, Assam – 788003

..... Petitioner(s)

- Versus -

1. The Union of India, represented by the
Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi - 110001
2. The Director General of Assam Rifles,
Mahanideshalaya Assam Rifles,
(Directorate General, Assam Rifles),
Shillong, Meghalaya –793010
3. The Headquarter, Inspector General Assam Rifles (North),
C/o 99 APO, Pin -932554
4. SO-1 Communication, Officer in Charge,
Signal Company, Headquarter, Inspector
General Assam Rifles (North),
C/o 99 Apo, Pin – 932554
5. IC-61259M Col, Vikash S. Upadhyay
SO - 2 (Cipher), Sig Coy, Headquarter,
Inspector General Assam Rifles (North),
C/o 99 APO, Pin – 932554

.... Respondent(s)



6. S/356164 WO (Ciph)
 Pravakar Sahoo
 23 AR, C/o 99 APO
 Pin – 932023

.....Proforma Respondent

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. B. Deb, Adv. with Ms. P. Kharmawshun, Adv.
For the Respondent(s)	:	Dr. N. Mozika, DSGI with Ms. M. Myrchiang, Adv. Ms. C. Jala, Adv.

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc:	Yes/No
ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

1. As the interim order for stay of the transfer has since been vacated, nothing substantial remains for consideration, however Mr. B. Deb, learned counsel for the petitioner has strenuously argued that the entire transfer episode was actuated by the actions of the respondent No. 5, to which a reply is necessary. He further submits that the alternative prayer is for direction to the respondent No. 2, to dispose of the representation dated 27.09.2025, wherein the allegations have been made against the respondent No. 5.



2. Dr. N. Mozika, learned DSGI assisted by Ms. M. Myrchiang, learned counsel for the respondents submits that nothing remains for consideration and the matter may be closed at this stage itself.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and also considering the fact that the interim order has since been vacated, but however as the representation dated 27.09.2025, still remains unattended as submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner, for the ends of justice it is directed that the representation be disposed of within a period of 2(two) months.

4. As ordered above, the matter accordingly stands closed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
20.02.2026
"V. Lyndem-PS"