Serial No. 05
Supplementary List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

Crl.M.C. No. 159 of 2026

Date of Decision: 29.07.2026

Shri. Iaidlang Lyngdoh Kynshi,
S/o Shri (L) Drinshon Lyngdoh Nongrang,
R/o Thangmaw Village,
P.S Mairang,
Eastern West Khasi Hills District,
Meghalaya.

…….. **Applicant**

-Vs-

State of Meghalaya through
Superintendent of Police,
West Khasi Hills District,
Nongstoin, Meghalaya.

…… **Respondent**

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge

Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. Bhattacharjee, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Ms. C.R. Marak, Adv. vice
Ms. S. Nongsiej, LAC.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Atiar S. Dey, GA.

i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.: Yes/No

ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

Per W. Diengdoh, (J):

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Heard Ms. C.R. Marak, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Ms. S. Nongsiej, learned Legal Aid Counsel for the applicant, who has submitted that the applicant/convict has preferred an appeal before this Court against the impugned judgment dated 01.12.2025 and related order of sentence dated 05.12.2025 passed by the Court of the learned Special Judge (POCSO), West Khasi Hills District, Nongstoin in Special (POCSO) Case No. 16 of 2024, whereby, he was convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 20(twenty) years with fine of ₹ 50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) only, and in default thereof, to undergo further simple imprisonment of 6(six) months for an offence punishable under Section 5(m)(n)/6 of the POCSO Act.

2. However, while preferring an appeal, a delay of 178 days has occurred, due to circumstances which is beyond the control of the applicant/convict, since he belongs to the below poverty line working as a daily labourer, and his family members are also not aware of his legal rights to file appeal or to engage a private counsel. As such, he could not prefer an appeal within the prescribed period of limitation. Thereafter, legal aid was sought for, and accordingly, this application was processed through Legal Aid Counsel. It is therefore prayed that the delay be condoned and the appeal be admitted.

3. Mr. Atiar S. Dey, learned GA appearing for the State respondent has

no objection to the prayer for condonation of the delay.

4. On consideration of the submission made, we are persuaded to allow this application on being satisfied that the grounds cited for the delay contain sufficient cause. Accordingly, the delay of 178 days in preferring the appeal is hereby condoned.

5. Registry is directed to diarize the appeal and list it for admission after 1(one) week.

6. Misc. Case disposed of.

(B. Bhattacharjee)
Judge

(W. Diengdoh)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
DARIKORDOR NARY
Date: 2026.07.29 20:21:35 IST