Serial No.08
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

MC[WP(C)]. No. 205 of 2026 in
WP(C). No. 346 of 2024

Date of Decision: 28.08.2026

Shri. Rangehbok Lyngwa,
S/o Shri. B.Phanbuh,
R/o Mawlai Nongmali-3,
Shillong, East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.

…Applicant

-Versus-

1. State of Meghalaya, represented by Commissioner/Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department, Shillong.

2. The Principal Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department, Shillong.

3. Under Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department, Shillong.

4. Director of Higher and Technical Education, Govt. of Meghalaya, Shillong.

5. Joint Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department, Shillong.

6. The Director, Polytechnic & Shillong Engineering, Shillong.

7. Additional Director, Polytechnic & Shillong Engineering, Shillong.

8. Personnel & AR (Department), Shillong, Meghalaya.

9. The Accountant General (A & E)
Meghalaya, Shillong.

…Opposite Party

10. Union of India, represented by the
Secretary to the Education Department,
Govt. of India, New Delhi.

11. All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE)
Represented by Secretary, New Delhi.

…Proforma Opposite Parties

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. S.Sen, Adv.
Ms. E.Blah, Adv.
Mr. S.Lamat, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. A.S.Pandey, Adv. with
Mr. E.R.Chyne, GA for R 1-5 & 8.
Ms. E.B.Passah, Adv. for R 9.
Mr. S.A.Majaw, Adv. for R 11.

---

i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc: Yes/No

ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. This misc. application has been filed seeking correction of the judgment and order dated 15-09-2025, passed in WP(C) No. 346 of 2024.

The said correction sought by the applicant appears in paragraph No. 2 and paragraph 6 of the judgment, wherein the word “UGC” is sought to be corrected to “AICTE”.

2. Mr. S.Sen, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the correction is necessary in view of the fact that the relevant Notification dated 04-01-2016 was issued by the AICTE with regard to relaxation in eligibility and not by the UGC.

3. This application however, has been resisted by the State respondents who have submitted that the correction is not as simple as it is made out to be, inasmuch as, the appearance of the word UGC was on the basis of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner itself, and further it would change the complexion of the matter.

4. This Court has noted the submissions but however, as it is reported that the matter is pending consideration in a writ appeal, this matter is closed at this stage leaving the parties to place this point for consideration before the Hon’ble Division Bench.

5. Misc. case accordingly stands disposed of.

Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by SAMANTHA ANNA LIYA RYNJAH
Date: 2026.08.28 17:45:41 IST