



Serial No. 12 Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 232 of 2023

Date of Decision: 02.04.2026

Smti. Eti Bala Barman,
W/o Shri. Ripon Kumar Modak,
R/o Modakpara, PS & PO Mahendraganj,
South West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya.

..... **Petitioner**

- Vs-

1. State of Meghalaya represented by
The Secretary, Education Department,
Govt. of Meghalaya, Shillong.
2. The Director of School Education &
Literacy, Meghalaya, Shillong.
3. The District School Education Officer,
South West Garo Hills District, Ampati.
4. The Sub-Divisional School Education
Officer, South West Garo Hills District,
Ampati.
5. The School Managing Committee, Melapara
Non-Govt LP School, Mahendraganj, South
West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya,
represented by its President/Secretary.

..... **Respondents**

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge



Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. S. Deb, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. H. Abraham, GA. for R 1-4.
Mr. S.W. Sangma, Adv. vice
Mr. A.H. Hazarika, Adv. for R 5.

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.:	Yes/No
----	---	--------

ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No
-----	---	--------

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Heard Mr. S. Deb, learned counsel for the petitioner, who has submitted that an application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed before this Court with a prayer for impleadment of the applicant therein, who is said to be the present incumbent, who is occupying the post of the petitioner on her service being terminated.

2. It is the further submission of the learned counsel that the applicant has brought on record copies of resolution dated 05.07.2023, by which the appointment of the said applicant has been affected, and the service of the petitioner being terminated. The said resolution is not known to the petitioner, and the same was also not brought by the relevant respondents through their counter affidavits. Accordingly, it is prayed that this petition may be allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file afresh, so as to enable the petitioner to



incorporate the new facts in a fresh petition.

3. Learned counsel for the respective respondents have no objection to the prayer made.

4. Accordingly, as prayed for, this petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn with liberty sought for allowed.

Judge