



Serial No. 01
Supplementary List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

Crl.M.C. No. 6 of 2026

Date of Decision: 06.02.2026

Shri. Kamal Kumar Thapa
 S/o Smti. Tulsi Devi
 R/o Nongmensong Umkdait,
 Shillong, Meghalaya.

..... **Applicant**

-Vs-

State of Meghalaya through
 Superintendent of Police,
 East Khasi Hills, Meghalaya.

..... **Respondent**

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge

Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. Bhattacharjee, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Ms. S. Nongsiej, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. K. Khan, PP with
 Mr. S. Sengupta, Addl. PP.
 Mr. H. Kharmih, Addl. PP.

- | | | |
|-----|---|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in
Law journals etc.: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication
in press: | Yes/No |



Per W. Diengdoh, (J):

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Heard Ms. S. Nongsiej, learned counsel for the applicant, who has submitted that an appeal has been preferred by the applicant, being aggrieved by the impugned judgment dated 27.08.2025 and order of sentence dated 28.08.2025 passed by the Court of the learned Special Judge (POCSO), East Khasi Hills District, Shillong in Special (POCSO) Case No. 1 of 2014 under Section 5(l)/6 POCSO Act read with Section 328/365/366A/376 IPC, whereby, by such judgment and order of sentence, he was convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a term of 20(twenty) years with fine of ₹ 50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) only, and in default thereof, to undergo further imprisonment for 6(six) months.

2. However, while preferring the said appeal, a delay of 51 days has occurred due to circumstances which is beyond the control of the applicant, as such, he could not prefer an appeal within the prescribed period of limitation. It is therefore prayed that the delay be condoned and the appeal be admitted.

3. Mr. K. Khan, learned PP assisted by Mr. S. Sengupta, learned Addl. PP appearing for the State respondent has no objection to the prayer made.



4. On consideration of the submission made, we are persuaded to allow this application on being satisfied that the grounds cited for the delay contain sufficient cause. Accordingly, the delay of 51 days in preferring the appeal is hereby condoned.
5. Registry is directed to diarize the appeal and list it for admission after 1(one) week.
6. Misc. Case disposed of.

(B. Bhattacharjee)
Judge

(W. Diengdoh)
Judge