KABORAMBAM SAPANA CHANU
Digitally signed by KABORAMBAM SAPANA CHANU
Date: 2026.11.06 15:17:19 +05'30'

2026:MNHC:262

Item Nos. 1 & 2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP (C) No. 837 of 2026
With
MC (WP (C)) No. 848 of 2026

1. The President, All Manipur WRD Employees & Workers Organisation namely Shri Longjam Nimaichand Singh, aged about 58 years, S/o Longjam Momon Singh, resident of Kwakeithel Lamdong Leikai, P.O & P.S. Imphal, Imphal West, Manipur-795001.
2. The Secretary, All Manipur WRD Employees & Workers Organisation namely Shri Laishram Bimolchandra Singh, aged about 57 years, S/o Laishram Gouro Singh, resident of Kwakeithel Laishram Leikai, P.O. Imphal & P.S. Singjamei, Imphal West, Manipur-795001.

.....Petitioners

Vs.

1. The State of Manipur, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Manipur, Secretariat Complex, Mantripukhri, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, Imphal East, Manipur-795002.
2. The Commissioner, (Water Resource Department), Government of Manipur, Secretariat Complex, Mantripukhri, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, Imphal East, Manipur-795002.
3. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Manipur-795001.

..... Respondents

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. N. Jotendro, Sr. Advocate Md. Abdul Baqee Khan, Advocate
For the respondents	:	Mrs. L. Monomala, GA
Date of Hearing	:	05.11.2026.
Date of Order	:	05.11.2026.

ORDER (ORAL)

[1] Mr. N. Jotendro, learned senior counsel assisted by Md. Abdul Baqee Khan, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioners and Mrs. L. Monomala, learned GA appeared on behalf of all the respondents.

[2] In view of the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioners and as agreed to by both the counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition and the connected application are hereby disposed of at the motion stage itself.

[3] At the outset, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that for redressing the grievances raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, the petitioners have already approached the respondents by submitting two representations dated 27.07.2026 and 17.08.2026 and that the said representations are still pending for consideration and disposal. The learned senior counsel further submitted that the petitioners will be satisfied for the time being if the present writ petition is disposed of by issuing a simple direction to the Administrative Secretary (Water Resource Department), Government of Manipur (respondent No. 2), to consider the said two representations on its own merit and strictly

in terms of applicable rules/guidelines and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order within a stipulated period.

[4] Mrs. L. Monomala, learned GA appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that as the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is confined to the consideration and disposal of the representations submitted by them, she has no objection in disposing of this writ petition as prayed for on behalf of the petitioners.

[5] Considering the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioners, this Court is of the considered view that it will be in the interest of justice to issue the following directions:-

1) The Administrative Secretary (Water Resource Department), Government of Manipur, is hereby directed to consider the aforesaid two representations dated 27.07.2026 and 17.08.2026 submitted by the petitioners on its own merit and strictly in terms of applicable rules/guidelines and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order.
2) The whole process for consideration and disposal of the said two representations shall be completed within

a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

3) The respondent No. 2 is further directed to furnish to the petitioners a copy of the order passed in connection with the said two representations within a period of 2 weeks from the date of issuance of such an order.

4) It is made clear that the above directions have been issued without touching upon the merits of the present writ petition and that the respondent No. 2 is at liberty to pass any appropriate order in accordance with law.

[6] With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ petition and the connected application are hereby disposed of.

JUDGE

Sapana