SHOUGRAKPAM DEVANANDA SINGH
Digitally signed by SHOUGRAKPAM DEVANANDA SINGH
Date: 2026.11.03 11:13:06 +05'30'

IN. 33

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 603 of 2026

Keisham Sony Singh & ors. ***… Petitioners***

Vs.

State of Manipur & ors. ***… Respondents***

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

O R D E R

02-11-2026

Ms. Sanya, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Mr. K. Kishan, learned counsel appeared on behalf of respondent No. 4 and seek three weeks' time for filing affidavit-in-opposition.

Ms. Thonrin YK Thangal, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that she has no objection.

As prayed for by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, list this case again on 23-11-2026.

JUDGE

Devananda

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(WP(C)) No. 838 of 2026
(*Ref*:- WP(C) No. 603 of 2026)

Neitinkim ***… Applicant***

Vs.

Keisham Sony Singh & ors. ***… Respondents***

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

O R D E R

02-11-2026

Mr. K. Kishan, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the applicant.

Issue notice.

Ms. Thonrin YK Thangal, learned counsel entered appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 and Ms. Sanya, learned counsel entered appearance and accepts notice on behalf respondents No. 5, 6 and 7.

The learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that the respondents No. 1 to 4 filed the connected writ petition being WP(C) No. 603 of 2026 with the prayer for quashing and setting aside the condition for fixation of Final Seniority List of the Range Forest Officers, including the present applicant and the present respondents No. 1 to 4 as prescribed under para 3(iv) of the order dated 27-11-2018 issued by the Secretariat: Forest & Environment Department, Government of Manipur. The learned counsel further submitted that by the said order dated 27-11-2018, both the applicant and the respondents

No. 1 to 4 had been appointed as Range Forest Officers and that if the said writ petition is heard and allowed without hearing the present applicant, the present applicant will be gravely prejudiced. Accordingly, in order to protect his rights and interests in connection with the aforesaid writ petition, the present application has been filed for allowing impleadment of the applicant as respondent No. 4 in the connected WP(C) No. 603 of 2026.

Ms. Thonrin YK Thangal, learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioners/ respondents No. 1 to 4 fairly submitted that the petitioners have no objection in allowing the present impleadment application.

Ms. Sanya, learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 5 to 7 also endorsed the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 1 to 4.

In view of the submission made above and considering the fact that the applicant is a necessary party in deciding the issue raised in the connected writ petition, the present application is hereby allowed.

Registry is directed to implead the present applicant as respondent No. 4 in the cause title of the connected WP(C) No. 603 of 2026.

With the aforesaid direction, the present application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Devananda