KABORAMBAM SAPANA CHANU
Digitally signed by KABORAMBAM SAPANA CHANU
Date: 2026.10.30 10:58:40 +05'30'

Item Nos. 6

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP (C) No. 808 of 2026

Handloom & Textile Employees Union, Manipur, having its registration No. 483 of 1999 registered under the Trade Unions Act, 1926, office at C.D.C Building, Salam Leikai Sagolband, Imphal represented by its President, namely Salam Chingtam Luwang, aged about 72 years, a resident of Sagolband Mayai Leirak, P.O & P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur-795001.

petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Manipur represented by the Chief Secretary, Government of Manipur, office at Secretariat, Mantripukhri, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, Imphal East District, Manipur-795002.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary (Textiles, Commerce & Industries), Government of Manipur, office at Secretariat, Mantripukhri, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, Imphal East District, Manipur-795002.
3. The Principal Secretary/ Commissioner/ Secretary (DP), Government of Manipur, office at Secretariat Mantripukhri, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, Imphal East District, Manipur-795002.
4. The Director, Handlooms & Textiles, Manipur, office at DIC Building, Lamphel, P.O & P.S Lamphel, Imphal West District, Manipur-795004.

..... Respondents

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

For the Petitioner	:	M. Hemchandra, Sr. Advocate Ms. T. Gunapriya, Advocate
For the respondents	:	Mr. Th. Vashum, GA
Date of Hearing	:	29.10.2026.
Date of Order	:	29.10.2026.

ORDER (ORAL)

[1] Mr. M. Hemchandra, learned senior advocate assisted by Ms. T. Gunapriya, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioners, Mr. Th. Vashum, learned GA appeared on behalf of all the respondents.

[2] In view of the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and as agreed to by both the counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition as well as the connected application are being disposed of at the motion stage.

[3] The learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that for redressing the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, he has already approached the Administrative Secretary (Textiles, Commerce & Industries), Government of Manipur (respondent No. 2), by submitting a representation dated 09.10.2026 and that the said representation is still pending for consideration and disposal. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner will be satisfied for the time being if the present writ petition is disposed of by issuing a simple direction to the respondent

No. 2 to consider the aforesaid representation submitted by the petitioner on its own merit and strictly in terms of applicable rules/guidelines and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order within a stipulated period.

[4] Mr. Th. Vashum, learned GA appearing on behalf of all the respondents fairly submitted that as the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is confined to the consideration and disposal of the representation submitted by him, he has no objection in disposing of this writ petition as prayed for on behalf of the petitioner.

[5] Considering the submission advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that it will be in the interest of justice to issue the following directions:-

1) The Administrative Secretary (Textiles, Commerce & Industries), Government of Manipur, is hereby directed to consider the aforesaid representation dated 09.10.2026 submitted by the petitioner on its own merit and strictly in terms of applicable rules/guidelines and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order.

2) The whole exercise of consideration and disposal of the said representation should be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

3) The respondent No. 2 is further directed to furnish to the petitioner a copy of the order passed in connection with the said representation within a period of 2 weeks from the date of issuance of such an order.

4) It is made clear that the above directions have been issued without touching upon the merit of the present writ petition and that the respondent No. 2 is at liberty to pass any appropriate order strictly in accordance with law.

[6] With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of.

JUDGE

Sapana