IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(WA) No. 80 of 2026

Smt. M.L. Gaipui, aged about 38 years old, W/o Donthao Golmei, a resident of Thangmeiband Tharon, Lamphelpat, P.O. & P.S. Lamphel, Imphal West District, Manipur – 795004.

*... **Applicant***

- Versus –

1. The State of Manipur represented by the Commissioner/Secretary, (MAHUD), Govt. of Manipur, New Secretariat, Babupara, P.O. & P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur – 795001.

2. The Imphal Municipal Corporation, represented by the Municipal Commissioner, P.O. & P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur – 795001.

3. The Mayor, Imphal Municipal Corporation, P.O. & P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur – 795001.

4. The Planning and Development Authority, represented by its Secretary, having its office at North AOC near 2nd MR. Gate, P.O. & P.S. Imphal, Imphal East District, Manipur – 795001.

... Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH
(ORDER)
(Order of the Court was made by Mr. M. Sundar, CJ)

27.10.2026

[1] Captioned Miscellaneous Case (MC) has been filed with a Condonation of Delay (CoD) prayer *qua* 9 (nine) days delay in filing

an intra-court appeal against an interim order in a matter pertaining to allotment of shops.

[2] Mr. Anjan Prasad Sahu, learned counsel on record for MC applicant, adverting to the MC application, submits that the MC applicant and other co-vendors were away from their station as part of their livelihood and family sustenance, this coupled with illness of some of the co-vendors is the reason for short 9 (nine) days delay. Learned counsel submits that the delay is neither willful nor wanton but only owing to this bona fide reason/s.

[3] Issue notice.

[4] Mr. Phungyo Zingkhai, learned State Counsel, accepts notice for R1 (first respondent), Mr. L. Raju, learned Standing Counsel for Imphal Municipal Corporation (IMC) accepts notice for R2 and R3 (second respondent and third respondent) and Ms. S. Gangarani, learned counsel, accepts notice for R4 (fourth respondent).

[5] The afore-referred 3 (three) counsel for 4 (four) respondents, on accepting notice, waived filing affidavits-in-opposition and consented to have the captioned MC taken up and heard out on merits.

[6] On merits, afore-referred 3 (three) counsel for the 4 (four) respondents point out that the MC applicant could have avoided the delay if she had been a little more diligent.

[7] Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the matter more particularly, the manner in which the MC applicant and other co-vendors are circumstanced i.e., those who eke out a livelihood as small vendors on a daily basis, we accept the reason *qua* CoD and the short 9 (nine) days delay is condoned.

[8] Registry to process the main writ appeal if there are no objections/objections are removed and otherwise in order and list it forthwith.

[9] Captioned MC ordered as prayed for/allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

JUDGE **CHIEF JUSTICE**

Sushil

P.S. I : Upload forthwith

P.S. II : All concerned will stand bound by web copy uploaded in High Court website inter-alia as the same is QR coded.

SHAMURAILA TPAM SUSHIL SHARMA

Digitally signed by SHAMURAILATPAM SUSHIL SHARMA
Date: 2026.10.28 10:56:06 +05'30'