LAISHRAM DHAKESHORI DEVI
Digitally signed by LAISHRAM DHAKESHORI DEVI
Date: 2026.11.05 12:15:34 +05'30'

2026:MNHC:255

Item No. 38

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 739 of 2026

Daihrii Pfotokho, aged about 45 years, S/o Late Daihrii of Laii Village, P.O. Tadubi, P.S. Tungjoy, Senapati District, Manipur now serving as Ars Graduate Teacher (AG), Laii High School, Senapati, Manipur. ...***Petitioner/s***

-Versus-

1. The State of Manipur represented by the Principal Secretary, Education(S), Government of Manipur, Imphal.

2. The Director of Education (S), Government of Manipur, Imphal. ...***Respondent/s***

-B E F O R E-
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

For the **Petitioner** :: Mr. Sh. Bikash Sharma, Advocate
For the **Respondents** :: Mr. Th. Vashum, Government Advocate
Date of **Hearing** :: 03-11-2026
Date of **Order** :: 03-11-2026

O R D E R (O R A L)

Heard Mr. Sh. Bikash Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf the petitioner and Mr. Th. Vashum, learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents.

[2] In view of the limited prayer made in this writ petition and as agreed to by both the counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition is being disposed of at the admission stage.

[3] At the outset, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that for redressing grievances raised by the petitioner in this writ petition, he has already approached the respondents by filing a representation dated **06-10-2025**, and that the said representation is still pending for consideration and disposal by the respondents. It has also been submitted that the petitioner will be satisfied for the time being if the present writ petition is disposed of by issuing a simple direction to the Commissioner, Education(S), Government of Manipur (respondent No. 1) to consider the said representation on its own merit and strictly in terms of the applicable rules and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order within a stipulated period.

[4] Mr. Th. Vashum , learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents fairly submitted that as the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is confined to the consideration and disposal of the said representation submitted by him, he has no objection in disposing of the present writ petition as prayed for on behalf of the petitioner.

[5] Considering the submission advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the limited prayer made in this writ petition, this Court is of the considered view that it will serve the interests of justice to issue the following directions :-

(i) The Commissioner of Education (S), Government of Manipur (respondent No. 1) is hereby directed to consider the representation dated **06-10-2025** submitted by the petitioner on its own merit and strictly in terms of the applicable rules and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order.

(ii) The whole process for consideration and disposal of the said representation should be completed within a period of 3(three) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(iii) The respondent No. 1 is further directed to furnish a copy of the order passed in connection with the said representation to the petitioner within a period of 2(two) weeks from the date of issuance of such an order.

(iv) It is made clear that the aforesaid directions have been issued without touching the merit of the writ petition and that the respondent No. 1 is at liberty to pass any appropriate order in accordance with law.

[6] With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Dhakeshori