SHOUGRAKPAM DEVANANDA SINGH
Digitally signed by SHOUGRAKPAM DEVANANDA SINGH
Date: 2026.11.05 17:06:46 +05'30'

IN. 39 & 40

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

RSA No. 7 of 2026

Sijagurumayum Raj Sharma & anr. ***… Appellants***

Vs.

Shree Radha Binod Devta & ors. ***… Respondents***

With

MC(RSA) No. 17 of 2026

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

O R D E R

05-11-2026

Mr. T. Rajendra, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Johnson, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the appellants and Mr. S. Sachindra, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the respondents No .1 and 2. The respondent No. 3 remains unrepresented.

On the joint request made by the counsel appearing for the parties, list these cases again on 14-12-2026.

JUDGE

Devananda

SHOUGRAKPAM DEVANANDA SINGH
Digitally signed by SHOUGRAKPAM DEVANANDA SINGH
Date: 2026.11.05 17:08:25 +05'30'

IN. 41

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(RSA) No. 20 of 2026
(*Ref*:- MC(RSA) No. 17 of 2026 &
RSA No. 7 of 2026)

Sijagurumayum Raj Sharma & anr. ***… Applicants***

Vs.

Shree Radha Binod Lal Devta & ors. ***… Respondents***

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

O R D E R

05-11-2026

Mr. T. Rajendra, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Johnson, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the applicants.

The present application has been filed under Order VIII Rule 9 read with Sections 141 & 152 of the Code of Civil Procedure seeking leave of this court to file replication/ subsequent pleadings to the written objection filed by the principal respondents No. 1 and 2 in connection with MC(RSA) No. 17 of 2026.

Issue notice upon the respondents No. 1 and 2 only.

Mr. S. Sachindra, learned counsel entered appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 and 2.

In view of the limited prayer made in the present appeal, this court is not issuing notice upon the proforma respondents No. 3 to 26 as they do not file any objection to the above-mentioned application.

Mr. S. Sachindra, learned counsel appearing for the contesting respondents No. 1 and 2 fairly submitted that he has no objection in allowing the prayer made in the present application, which

is only for allowing the applicant to file replication/ subsequent pleadings to the written objection filed by the respondents No. 1 and 2. The submission made by the learned counsel is recorded.

Taking into consideration the submission made by the counsel appearing for the respondents No. 1 and 2, the present application is hereby allowed.

Misc. case stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Devananda