Abujam Surjit Singh
Digitally signed by Abujam Surjit Singh
Date: 2026.11.21 16:04:32 +05'30'

Item No.67

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR

AT IMPHAL

MC(Cril. Rev. P.) No.12 of 2024

Smt. Yumlembam Aruna Devi, aged about 40 years, W/o Laijing Mopham Maring, a resident of Chingmeirong West, Imphal East District, Manipur.

... Petitioner/s

- versus -

S. Tilkhothang Haokip, S/o Heingin Haokip, a resident of Bijang Churachandpur, Sub-Division-Churchandpur, Manipur-795128.

... Respondent/s

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA

O R D E R

21.11.2026

[1] Heard Mr. L. Gunindro, learned counsel for the petitioner.

[2] By the present application, under Section 5 of Limitation Act, 1963, the applicant is praying for condoning delay of 205 days in filing the accompany revision petition under Section 442 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 against the impugned order dated 22.11.2023 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Imphal East in Cril. Complaint Case. No.14 of 2023. By the impugned order, learned CJM, Imphal East is dismissed the complaint filed by the petitioner under Section 156(3) read with 190 of Cr. P.C for invoking section 420, 406 of IPC and

section 4 of Prevention of Money Laundering Act, the complaint was dismissed on the ground that complainant does not disclose the place of occurrence and the exact amount allegedly cheated by the accused person has not been disclosed. This Court issued notice and the applicant submitted a proof of service by filing an affidavit dated 14.11.2025 where as per the postal tracking report, summon to the sole respondent was delivered on 25.03.2025 at his residence at Churachandpur. Since then, none appeared on behalf of the respondent before this Court either on the video conferencing platform or in the physical Court. In circumstances, the matter is heard in the absence of the respondent.

[3] The reasons for delay of 205 days in filing the accompany revision petition is that due to flood, the applicant could not meet her counsel on two occasions and due to health problem also, she could not meet her physically and only on 15.08.2024, the petitioner met her counsel and the preparation for revision petition was finally made and filed on 14.10.2025. In circumstances, the delay of 205 days has been explained and as explained in para 8 of the application, the said delay is neither intentional nor deliberate but has occurred due to circumstances beyond the control of the applicant. It is, therefore, prayed that the delay of 205 days be condoned and the matter be heard on merit.

[4] This Court perused the material on record and satisfied with the explanation given by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The delay of 205 days is condoned on deposit of Rs. 3,000/- to the High Court Bar

Association, Manipur's Welfare fund. Upon production of proof of payment of the costs, the Registry is directed to register the accompanying revision petition, if the same is otherwise in order

[5] The present application is allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Ab. Surjit