LAISHRAM DHAKESHORI DEVI
Digitally signed by LAISHRAM DHAKESHORI DEVI
Date: 2026.10.30 15:48:50 +05'30'

Item Nos. 30-31

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(RSA) No. 14 of 2026 *with*
MC(RSA) No. 15 of 2026
Ref. RSA No. 2 of 2021

Khadijam Begum & anr. ...*Applicant/s*

Vrs.

Md. Basir Ali & 2 ors. ...*Respondent/s*

-BEFORE-
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

29.10.2026

Mr. N. Zequeson, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the applicants and Mr. N. Bupenda Meitei, learned counsel appeared on behalf of all the respondents.

[2] MC(RSA) No. 15 of 2026 had been field for bringing on record the Legal Representatives (LRs) of the deceased respondent No. 1 in the connected RSA No. 3 of 2026. MC(RSA) No. 14 of 2026 had been field with a prayer for condoning the delay in filing the application for bringing on record the LRs of the deceased respondent No. 1.

[3] The learned counsel appearing for the applicants submitted that the connected RSA No. 3 of 2026 had been filed against the judgment dated 09-02-2026 passed by the District Judge, Imphal East in Regular First Appeal being RFA No. 2 of 2021. It has been submitted that the hearing of the said RFA was concluded on 10-12-2025 and judgment was announced on 09-02-2026. In between conclusion of hearing and announcement of the judgment, the present respondent No. 1 (appellant No. 1 in RFA) expired on 11-01-2026 and

the demise of the respondent No. 1 (appellant No. 1) was not brought to notice of the Ld. First Appellate Court. The learned counsel further submitted that only after filing the present RSA, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents informed the counsel for the appellants about the demise of the said respondent No. 1 and particulars of the LRs of the said deceased respondent No. 1 was furnished only on 31-07-2026.

[4] On having acknowledge about the demise of the said respondent No. 1, the present two applications, one for bringing on record the LRs of the deceased respondent No. 1 and one for condoning the delay in filing the application for brining on record the LRs of the deceased respondent No. 1, have been filed.

[5] It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants that there has been a delay of 114 days in filing the present two applications and that if the delay is to be counted from the date of acknowledgment of the demise of the said respondent No. 1, there is no delay at all. The learned counsel, accordingly, prays for allowing the condonation application and also the application for brining on record the LRs of the deceased respondent No. 1.

[6] Mr. N. Bupenda Meitei, learned counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that he has no objection in allowing both the applications seeking for condonation of delay as well as the application for bringing on record the LRs of the deceased respondent No.1 and that any appropriate order may be passed.

[7] Considering the submission advanced by both the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on perusal of the records, this Court is of the view that it will be in the interest of justice to allow both

the applications, seeking for condonation of delay as well as the application for bringing on record the LRs of the deceased respondent No.1.

[8] Accordingly, both the said two applications are hereby allowed. Registry is directed to incorporate the names of the LRs of the deceased respondent No. 1 in the record of the connected RSA No. 3 of 2026.

[9] With the aforesaid directions, both the two applications are hereby disposed of.

JUDGE

Dhakeshori