LAISHRAM DHAKESHORI DEVI
Digitally signed by LAISHRAM DHAKESHORI DEVI
Date: 2026.10.28 12:36:50 +05'30'

2026:MNHC:243
Item No. 32-33

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 227 of 2026 with
MC(WP(C)) No. 429 of 2026

1. Soibam Rojitkumar, aged about 48 years S/o Soibam Nilakanta Singh, resident of Soibam Leikai, near Citizens' Club, P.O. & P.S. Porompat, District Imphal East, Manipur-795005.
2. Ksh. Snehlata Devi, aged about 58 years W/o RK Jayanta, resident of Keishamthong Ahanthem Leikai, P.O. Imphal,P.S. Imphal West & District Imphal West, Manipur-795001.
3. Keisham Meena Devi, aged about 49 years W/o Thokchom Robindro, resident of Kongpal Kongkham Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Porompat, District Imphal East, Manipur-795005.
4. Gaichamdinpou Pamei, aged about 42 years S/o Keinesung, resident of Namkaolong-III, Khoupum, P.O. Nungba, P.S. Khoupum & District Noney, Manipur-795147.
5. Chongtham Henry Singh, aged about 51 years S/o Chongtham Ibocha Singh, resident of Uripok Achom Leikai, P.O. Imphal, P.S. & District Imphal West, Manipur-795001.
6. Dougaijam Rebika Devi, aged about 58 years W/o Thounaojam Rajendro Singh, resident of Khurai Thoudam Leikai, P.O. Lamlong & P.S. Porompat, District Imphal East, Manipur-795010.
7. Waikhom Romio Singh, aged about 49 years S/o Waikhom Leibakmacha Singh, resident of Heingang Awang Leikai, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, District Imphal East, Manipur-795002.

...Petitioner/s

-Versus-

1. The State of Manipur through the Additional Chief Secretary/ Principal Secretary/Commissioner/Secretary, Education(S), Government of Manipur, New Secretariat, Mantripukhri, P.O. Mantripukhri & P.S. Heingang, Imphal East District, Manipur-795002.

2. The Director of Education (S), Government of Manipur, office at Lamphelpat, P.O. & P.S. Lamphel, Imphal West District, Manipur-795004. ...*Respondent/s*

-B E F O R E-
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

For the Petitioner/ Applicant	::	Mr. B.P. Sahu, Senior Advocate Mr. Deepak P. Sahu, Advocate
For the Respondents	::	Mr. Th. Vashum, Government Advocate
Date of Hearing	::	27-10-2026
Date of Order	::	27-10-2026

O R D E R (O R A L)

Heard Mr. B.P. Sahu, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Deepak Prasad Sahu, learned counsel appearing on behalf the petitioners and Mr. Th. Vashum, learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents.

[2] At the outset, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the present writ petition as well as the connected application can be disposed of by issuing a simple direction to the Commissioner, Education (s), Government of Manipur to consider the representation dated 10-09-2026 submitted by the petitioners on its own merit and dispose of the same by issuing an appropriate order within a stipulated period.

[4] Mr. Th. Vashum , learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that as the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is confined to the consideration and disposal of the said representation submitted by them, he has no objection in disposing of this writ petition as prayed for on behalf of the petitioners.

[5] Considering the submission advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioners and also as agreed to by both the parties, this Court is of the considered view that it will serve the interests of justice to issue the following directions :-

(i) The Commissioner of Education (S), Government of Manipur (respondent No. 1) is hereby directed to consider the representation dated 10-09-2026 submitted by the petitioners on its own merit and strictly in terms of the applicable rules and to dispose of the same by issuing an appropriate order.

(ii) The whole process for consideration and disposal of the said representation should be completed as early as possible but not later than 3(three) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(iii) The respondent No. 1 is further directed to furnish a copy of the order passed in connection with the said representation to any of the petitioners within a period of 2(two) weeks from the date of issuance of such an order.

(iv) It is made clear that the aforesaid directions have been issued without touching the merit of the writ petition and that the respondent No. 1 is at liberty to pass any appropriate order strictly in accordance with law.

[6] With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ petition as well as the connected application are hereby disposed of.

JUDGE

Dhakeshori