

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.445 of 2026

=====

Bhaglu Sah S/o Banarsi Sah, Resident of Village-Sonma, P.S.-Bakhri,
District-Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Munger.
3. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Bakhri, Sub-Division, Begusarai.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bakhri, Sub-Division, Begusarai.
6. The Circle Officer, Garhpura Circle, Sub-Division, Bakhri, Begusarai.
7. The Gang Bahadur Paswan, S/o Late Kari Paswan, Resident of Village-Sonma, P.S.-Bakhri, District-Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Nakul Kumar Jamuar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Advocate (2)
	:	Mr. Rajan Prakash, AC to GA-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance a writ of mandamus
commanding and directing to the respondents
concerned to give the possession on the land of
petitioner, on which the private Respondent no.7
forcibly occupied the land of the petitioner on
the basis of forge documents, in fact the land in
question, Mouza Sonma, Touzi No. 643. Thana
No. 139, Khata no. 201, Khesra No. 2021, Area-
6 Katha 9 Dhur, and on which the respondent*



no.7 filed a Title Suit over the land in question bearing Title Suit No.17/2013, which is going on and during the pendency of title suit the Private respondent No.7 forcibly occupied the said land on which the petitioner was coming ion peaceful possession, and further direction may be given to the Respondents concerned to look into this matter and take action accordance with law against the Respondent No.7. And/or any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate authority for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

