

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2248 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2025 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhojpur

Laddu Singh @ Prince Kumar Singh S/o Ramesh Singh R/o Village - Salarpur, P.S.-
Karanda, District - Gajipur, State - Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandan Kumar Verma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026

Heard Mr. Chandan Kumar Verma, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, learned A.P.P. for
the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
09.06.2025 in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 73 of
2025, FIR dated 22.02.2025 registered for the offence under
Section 140(3), 140(1), 103(1), 238, 318(4), 338, 336(3), 61(2),
3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and 66(B), 66©, 66(D)
of the I.T Act.

3. According to the prosecution case, the informant
alleged that on 17.02.2025, his son went to Patna by train to meet
his friend but didn't return and on search, no trace of him was
found.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been
implicated in this case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR and his



name transpired during investigation on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Shambhu Kumar and the petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 09.06.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the said Shambhu Kumar has confessed his guilt which was recorded in paragraph no.47 of case diary in which he had categorically stated that he along with the petitioner and other co-accused persons killed informant's son and thereafter thrown the body of the deceased in river Ganga near Jamuniya and apart from that petitioner had also confessed his guilt in the present occurrence. He further submits that petitioner carries eight criminal antecedents other than the present one in which he is on bail in all cases.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 73 of 2025, pending in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-VII, Bhojpur, Ara.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

