

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91918 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-359 Year-2024 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Sanni Kumar @ Raushan Kumar @ Sanni @ Raushan S/o Makhan Rai R/o
village- Chaksakra, PS- Hajipur Sadar, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 19-03-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 359 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 365 and 366 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., the petitioner had allegedly abducted the wife of the informant and had stolen golden ornaments amounting to Rs.5 lakhs and cash of Rs.2 lakhs. When the informant asked the father of the petitioner about whereabouts of his wife, they abused and assaulted him.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has



committed no offence as alleged. The petitioner has no concern either with the alleged occurrence or with the wife of the informant. The petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. He further submitted that the wife of the informant in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS has alleged against the petitioner that the petitioner confined her in a room for one month and committed wrong with her on two occasions and also took her ornaments and cash of Rs. 2 lakhs.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the F.I.R., and the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 BNSS, it appears that the victim who is the wife of the informant has supported the prosecution case. The victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS has stated that earlier also on two occasions the petitioner established physical relation with her.

7. Considering the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 BNSS wherein she has supported the prosecution case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on pre-arrest bail.



8. However, considering the offence, the parties may reconcile it outside the court and for the said purpose, this Court grants protection of three months to the petitioner.

9. The bail application, accordingly, stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

