

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.221 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2024 Thana- JALE District- Darbhanga

Istiyak Nadaf @ Md. Isteyak @ Md. Ishatiyak Son of Harun Nadaf Resident
of Village- Malhi, Ward No. 13, P.S.- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Upendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Jalley P.S. Case
No.200 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under
sections 310(2)/311 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 23.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 21343 of 2025, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
parties.*

*2. This application for grant of
regular bail arises out of Jale P.S. Case No.200
of 2024 registered for the offence punishable
under sections 310(2)/311 of the B.N.S., 2023.*

*3. Five persons committed dacoity in
the night and took away golden ornaments,
money and mobile etc. worth Rs.4,00,000/- .*



4. The petitioner is accused in thirteen more criminal cases of similar and serious nature. He has given his self inculpatory statement and has said that looted articles were sold to co-accused Bhikhari Thakur. On the statement of the petitioner, the looted articles have been recovered from Bhikhari Thakur, who has said that he has purchased the same from the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail. Accordingly, this bail application is rejected.”

4. Considering the gravity of the offence, the fact that the petitioner has 14 criminal antecedents and the fact that no fresh ground for grant of bail is made out, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

5. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

6. The trial Court is expected to expedite the trial and frame the charge in accordance with law.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

