

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.668 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2025 Thana- SULTANGANJ District- Bhagalpur

Manish Kumar S/o Subodh Sah @ Sree Sobodh Sah R/o village - Abjuganj,
P.S. - Sultanganj, Dist.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Devendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 06-04-2026 Heard Mr. Devendra Kumar Pandey, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
04.03.2025 in connection with Sessions Trial no. 672 of 2025,
arising out of Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 88 of 2025, F.I.R. dated
01.03.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3),
126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S,
2023 and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, the co-accused
Bunty Kumar has ordered his two associates to fire upon the
informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. Initially the petitioner was not named in the F.I.R and his name has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused persons and thereafter the petitioner has confessed his guilt in the present occurrence. As per the confessional statement of the co-accused, the petitioner has fired upon the victim. He further submits that except the aforesaid, no other material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 04.03.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has come during investigation that the petitioner has fired upon the victim.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge- XI, Bhagalpur, in connection with Sessions Trial no. 672 of



2025, arising out of Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 88 of 2025,
subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

