

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1876 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2025 Thana- BHADHWAR District- Gaya

=====

Anuj Kumar @ Anup Kumar Son of Mithilesh Paswan Resident of Village -
Nandai, P.S.- Bhadwar, District – Gaya. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 09-04-2026

Heard Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned A.P.P. for the State and Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 19.02.2025 in connection with Bhadwar P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025, FIR dated 19.02.2025 registered for the offence under Section 126, 103(1), 115(1), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that when husband of the deceased went outside for work then on 18.02.2025 at about 3:30 P.M, the petitioner entered his house and murdered his wife by means of sharp cutting weapon.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation



as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. and the petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 19.02.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that mother and sister of the informant had seen the occurrence and thereafter they informed the informant about the incident and from bare perusal of FIR it appears that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he committed murder of the wife of the informant.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case supported by medical evidence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Bhadwar P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025, pending in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Sherghati(Gayaji).

7. Prayer is refused.

8. However the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

