

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.363 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-384 Year-2025 Thana- BABUBARHI District- Madhubani

Dilip Kumar Yadav S/O Biltu Yadav Resident of village- Kukrupatti,
Babubarhi, P.S.- Babubarhi, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Subhash Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ratnakar Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1)/3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. During procession of god Ganesh, a minor
altercation took place between the petitioner and informant, and
it is alleged that the petitioner along with co-accused Dinesh
Kumar Yadav assaulted the informant, due to old dispute
between them, which was pacified by the co-villagers. It is
further alleged that after sometime, six accused persons
including the petitioner came at the house of the informant and
assaulted the informant and his family members with *lathi*-



danda in which grandfather of the informant, namely, Sangam Lal Yadav was badly injured, thereafter, he was taken to hospital, where he was declared dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Further submission is that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner of committing assault on the informant and his family members including the deceased. Learned counsel pointed out that the *postmortem* report of the deceased shows that the deceased had no external injuries and his cause of death was cardiorespiratory failure due to sudden cardiac arrest. Learned counsel further submits that the deceased died due to old age and taking advantage of the situation, the petitioner has been implicated in this case. The charge-sheet has already been submitted after completion of investigation. Petitioner is in custody since 10.10.2025 having no criminal antecedent and he undertakes to co-operate in the trial. There is no chance of tampering with the evidence or absconding of the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant oppose the bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties, nature of allegation against the petitioner, period of custody and also the fact that the charge-sheet has already been submitted, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Madhubani in connection with Babubarhi P.S. Case No. 384 of 2025, corresponding to G.R. No. 2210 of 2025.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

